

#### STERLING SCHOOL

CHARLES TOWNES CENTER
STERLING ELEMENTARY PROGRAM

99 JOHN MCCARROLL WAY GREENVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA 29607 864.355.4480 864.355.4490 www.greenville.k12.sc.us/sterling

Serving students in grades 4k-8<sup>th</sup> Grade David M. Johnstone, Principal

School District of Greenville County W. Burke Royster, Superintendent

2013-14 through 2017-18 Spring 2017

#### SCHOOL RENEWAL PLAN COVER PAGE

#### SCHOOL INFORMATION AND REQUIRED SIGNATURES

**SCHOOL: Sterling School** 

GREENVILLE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

SCHOOL RENEWAL PLAN FOR YEARS 2013-2014 through 2017-2018 (five years)

SCHOOL RENEWAL ANNUAL UPDATE FOR 2017-2018 (one year)

#### **Assurances**

The school renewal plan, or annual update of the school renewal plan, includes elements required by the Early Childhood Development and Academic Assistance Act of 1993 (Act 135) and the Education Accountability Act of 1998 (EAA) (S.C. Code Ann. §59-18-1300 and §59-139-10 *et seq.* (Supp. 2004)). The signatures of the chairperson of the board of trustees, the superintendent, the principal, and the chairperson of the school improvement council are affirmation of active participation of key stakeholders and alignment with Act 135 and EAA requirements.

CHAIRPERSON, BOARD OF TRUSTEES Dr. Crystal Ball O'Connor PRINTED NAME **SIGNATURE** DATE SUPERINTENDENT Wante Roysto Dr. W. Burke Royster 3/31/17 PRINTED NAME **SIGNATURE** DATE CHAIRPERSON, SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL **Anne Spence** SIGNATURE DATE PRINTED NAME PRINCIPAL **David Johnstone** PRINTED NAME **SIGNATURE DATE** SCHOOL READ TO SUCCEED LITERACY LEADERSHIP TEAM LEAD Loretta Holmes PRINTED NAME **SIGNATURE DATE** 

SCHOOL'S ADDRESS: 99 John McCarroll Way Greenville, South Carolina, 29607

SCHOOL'S TELEPHONE: 864-355-4480

PRINCIPAL'S E-MAIL ADDRESS: djohnsto@greenville.k12.sc.us

#### STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT FOR SCHOOL PLAN

(Mandated Component)

List the name of persons who were involved in the development of the school renewal plan. A participant for each numbered category is required.

	<u>POSITION</u>	<u>NAME</u>
1.	PRINCIPAL:	David Johnstone
2.	TEACHER:	Anna Dean
3.	PARENT/GUARDIAN:	Elizabeth Hart
4.	COMMUNITY MEMBER:	Delores Durham
5.	SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL:	Anne Spence
6.	Read to Succeed Reading Coach:	Kim Marchbanks
7.	School Read to Succeed Literacy Leadership Team Lead:	Loretta Holmes
8.	OTHERS* (May include school board members, administration Council members, students, PTO members, agency represents.)  ** Must include the School Read to Succeed Literacy Lead	entatives, university partners,
	<u>POSITION</u>	<u>NAME</u>
	Instructional Coach	Deborah Foulkes
	Literacy Mentor:	Stephanie Dozier
	Literacy Mentor:	Ashleigh Hambright

\*REMINDER:

If state or federal grant applications require representation by other stakeholder groups, it is appropriate to include additional stakeholders to meet those requirements and to ensure that the plans are aligned.

#### ASSURANCES FOR SCHOOL PLAN

(Mandated Component)

#### Act 135 Assurances

Assurances, checked by the principal, attest that the school complies with all applicable Act 135 requirements.

#### Academic Assistance, PreK-3

The school makes special efforts to assist children in PreK-3 who demonstrate a need for extra or alternative instructional attention (e.g., after-school homework help centers, individual tutoring, and group remediation).

# Academic Assistance, Grades 4–12

The school makes special efforts to assist children in grades 4–12 who demonstrate a need for extra or alternative instructional attention (e.g., after-school homework help centers, individual tutoring, and group remediation).

# Parent Involvement

The school encourages and assists parents in becoming more involved in their children's education. Some examples of parent involvement initiatives include making special efforts to meet with parents at times more convenient for them, providing parents with their child's individual test results and an interpretation of the results, providing parents with information on the district's curriculum and assessment program, providing frequent, two way communication between home and school, providing parents an opportunity to participate on decision making groups, designating space in schools for parents to access educational resource materials, including parent involvement expectations as part of the principal's and superintendent's evaluations, and providing parents with information pertaining to expectations held for them by the school system, such as ensuring attendance and punctuality of their children.

# Staff Development

The school provides staff development training for teachers and administrators in the teaching techniques and strategies needed to implement the school/district plan for the improvement of student academic performance. The staff development program reflects requirements of Act 135, the EAA, and the National Staff Development Council's revised *Standards for Staff Development*.

# **Technology**

The school integrates technology into professional development, curriculum development, and classroom instruction to improve teaching and learning.

# **Innovation**

The school uses innovation funds for innovative activities to improve student learning and accelerate the performance of all students. Provide a good example of the use of innovation funds.

# **Collaboration**

The school (regardless of the grades served) collaborates with health and human services agencies (e.g., county health departments, social services departments, mental health departments, First Steps, and the family court system).

# Developmental Screening

The school ensures that the young child receives all services necessary for growth and development. Instruments are used to assess physical, social, emotional, linguistic, and cognitive developmental levels. This program normally is appropriate at primary and elementary schools, although screening efforts could take place at any location.

# Half-Day Child Development

The school provides half-day child development programs for **four-year-olds** (some districts fund full-day programs). The programs usually function at primary and elementary schools, although they may be housed at locations with other grade levels or completely separate from schools.

### Developmentally Appropriate Curriculum for PreK-3

The school ensures that the scope and sequence of the curriculum for PreK-3 are appropriate for the maturation levels of students. Instructional practices accommodate individual differences in maturation level and take into account the student's social and cultural context.

#### **Parenting and Family Literacy**

The school provides a four component program that integrates all of the following activities: interactive literacy activities between parents and their children (Interactive Literacy Activities); training for parents regarding how to be the primary teachers for their children and full partners in the education of their children (parenting skills for adults, parent education); parent literacy training that leads to economic self-sufficiency (adult education); and an age-appropriated education to prepare children for success in school and life experiences (early childhood education). Family Literacy is not grade specific, but generally is most appropriate for parents of children at the primary and elementary school levels and below, and for secondary school students who are parents. Family Literacy program goals are to strengthen parent involvement in the learning process of preschool children ages birth through five years; promote school readiness of preschool children; offer parents special opportunities to improve their literacy skills and education, a chance to recover from dropping out of school; and identify potential developmental delays in preschool children by offering developmental screening.

# **Recruitment**

 $\overline{\mathsf{V}}$ 

 $\overline{\mathsf{V}}$ 

The school makes special and intensive efforts to **recruit** and give **priority** to serving those parents or guardians of children, ages birth through five years, who are considered at-risk of school failure. "At-risk children are defined as those whose school readiness is jeopardized by any of, but no limited to, the following personal or family situation(s): Educational level of parent below high school graduation, poverty, limited English proficiency, significant developmental delays, instability or inadequate basic capacity within the home and/or family, poor health (physical, mental, emotional) and/or child abuse and neglect.

#### Coordination of Act 135 Initiatives with Other Federal, State, and District Programs

The school ensures as much program effectiveness as possible by developing a district-wide/school-wide coordinated effort among all programs and funding. Act 135 initiatives are coordinated with programs such as Head Start, First Steps, Title I, and programs for students with disabilities.

# **Table of Contents**

Executive Summary	7
Introduction	7
<b>Executive Summary</b>	7
School Profile	10
Information & Analysis	11
Staff Profile	12
Instructional Program	14
Technology	20
Leadership	24
Partnerships	25
Mission, Vision and Beliefs	27
<b>Data Analysis and Needs Assessment</b>	28
Student Achievement	28
Teacher and Administrator Quality	32
School Climate Needs Assessment	39
Action Plan	63
School Report Card and ESEA Rating	93
Appendix	94

#### Introduction

**Sterling School** is home to two programs: Sterling elementary, serving 409 students 4K-5th grades, and the Charles Townes Center, a select program for 450 highly gifted students (grades 3-8). The programs operate within a single school and will be guided by unified beliefs, vision and mission.

The School Improvement Council (SIC) and teaching staff have worked this year to identify key areas in which Sterling School should spend time and energy advancing itself over the next five years. Teachers worked in grade level teams based on the following grade levels: early childhood, elementary and middle school. The teachers and School Improvement Council reviewed test scores data, ADVANCED Ed survey data and completed the Advanced Ed self-assessment. The data and charts were reviewed and compiled in the current Strategic Plan.

# **Executive Summary**

Over several sessions the SIC developed a list of items indicative of the current culture, as well as the culture that we want to see develop and flourish at Sterling School. This list of items fit into two key focuses: experiential learning and inclusiveness.

# **Experiential Learning**

- The school seeks to encourage students and teachers to engage in the learning process in a manner that allows for learning from and through failure, risk taking and trial and error.
- This may be synonomous with the current approach of inquiry based learning, as many of the characteristics are seen in current teaching. However, there is a desire to see this encouraged and emphasized.

#### Characteristics of Experiential Learning

Valuing failure
Trial & error
Continuous improvement
Create relationships of trust
Safe and nurturing
Support from peers
Administrative leadership

#### **Inclusiveness**

The school seeks to create an environment in which students, teachers, parents and community members feel accepted and part of the school. This focus seeks to value these roles and also to appreciate and value the differences that each individual brings in fullfilling their respective roles.

# Characteristics of Inclusiveness

Tolerance Acceptance Engagement Collaboration School pride The SIC agreed that the best approach to encourage this vision of school culture is to update and improve the instructional program at the Charles Townes Center and the Sterling elementary program by adding depth, rigor and choice to current offerings. This approach combines the two areas of focus. We are working more closely with students to include them in the designation of the types of experiential learning from which they can choose. There is still a path for course acceleration when needed; however, the vast majority of student needs can be better met with a program that adds attention to student strengths through extended lessons, in-depth studies in a field of interest and ongoing enrichment. We further expand the idea of inclusiveness by having teachers, parents and students work together to develop comprehensive student portfolios which will guide large group extensions, individualized enrichment and student course and project selections. The Strategic plan has specific goals and strategies outlined in the plan are set forth with the purpose of attaining a school culture built around experiential learning and inclusiveness. This plan consists of three goal areas with each having measurable performance goals aligned to national and state guidelines for performance.

#### **Student Achievement:**

**Goal Area 1** encompasses performance goals for Reading, Writing and Math, Social Studies and Science. These performance targets are based on the prior years' PASS scores and are focused on areas needing improvement. Within the plan found in this document, interim performance goals further target additional areas of improvement. You may notice in this goal area that Sterling elementary is designated rather than Sterling School. Sterling elementary indicates elementary students in grades 3<sup>rd</sup>-5<sup>th</sup> not in the Charles Townes Center. This designation is due to the extremely high number of Charles Townes students who score exemplary in English Language Arts, Writing and Math.

#### Needs:

- The Sterling elementary program performed above federal standard however the African-American sub group was below standard
- Assessment: Use of common assessments and analysis of other data.
- Balanced Literacy: Need to improve literacy skills on 4K-5<sup>th</sup>
- Curriculum: Integrate review standards and improved current instructional unit plans and rigor of all academic areas.
- Differentiation: Need to more effectively use research based instructional practices to individualize student learning and performance
- Enrichment: Continue to Extend, Enrich and Accelerate student learning and performance.

#### **Teacher and Administrator Quality**

**Goal Area 2** addresses the need for quality staff. The **Sterling School** vision requires extensive training for teachers and staff members. This area defines the school-wide professional development for the year as well.

#### Needs:

- Provide more targeted professional development
- Training in the development, analysis and use of assessment data.
- Improved student literacy through balanced literacy
- Strategies for differentiation.
- Use of technology to improve student learning

The goals in this area are supported by national and state guidelines defining quality personnel. The strategies in this area are designed to support the two areas of focus in developing the school culture and are integral to implementing the strategies in Goal Areas 1 and 3.

#### **School Climate:**

**Goal Area 3** addresses school climate. This goal area is very important at **Sterling School** and is directly aligned with the focus of inclusiveness. **Sterling School** is a diverse school covering a wide range of ages and student needs. **Sterling School** plays a critical role in the local community as well creates a community for our parents from around the county. The strategies for meeting and maintaining this goal are designed to identify and meet the needs of this diverse population.

#### Needs

- Improve student attendance and tardies
- Parent engagement- need parent workshops, orientations and meetings to help provide support for students
- Improve parent-teacher conferences using more parent friendly assessment data
- Diversity training for parent leadership- SIC & PTA
- Each student needs one adult in the school they feel knows and advocates for them.

#### Challenges

Sterling School has been building the school and both programs since 2003. This is the eighth year that both programs have been complete. The Charles Townes program is thirteen years old and is in the process of renewal, while the Sterling program is looking at its instructional units and reviewing their initial effectiveness. The school has been working on developing and refining the curriculum based on ongoing data collection and strategic planning.

#### **Accomplishments:**

During the past five years, **Sterling School** has made significant progress. Professional development funds and school resources have been spent with school goals and objectives as key criteria. The school staff, PTA and School Improvement Council has been involved in the review and assessment of the Strategic Plan. We continue to make progress in addressing deficiencies and needs by implementing the Gifted Program and successfully developing the Sterling Elementary Program in grades 4K- 5. In 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015 Sterling School received the Gold Award for General Academic Performance and the Silver Award for Closing the Achievement Gap.

#### Other Accomplishments

- National Board Certified Teachers
- Teachers Gifted and Talented Endorsed
- Presenters at National Association of Gifted Children & National Teachers of English
- State Winners: National History Day
- Junior Beta Club National Champions Quiz Bowl: 2015, 2016
- Math Counts Upstate Champions 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017

#### State Champions 2014, 2015

- Gold Award
- Green Steps School
- Top Ten Teacher of the Years -
  - Jennifer Johnston 2009
  - Emily Johnson 2010
  - Linda Reynolds 2011
  - Sara Newell 2012
  - John Burdick 2014
- Greenville County Science Teacher of the Year –

John Burdick 2010-2011 Tracey Carney 2012-2013

- Battle of the Books Runner-up 2011-2012
- Silver Level Safe Routes to School
- LiveWell Greenville Healthy School Award 2012-2013, 2013-2014, 2014-2015

# **School Profile**

**Sterling School** is home to two programs: Sterling elementary, serving 649 students 4K-5th grades, and the Charles Townes Center, a select program for 450 highly gifted students (grades 3-8). The programs operate within a single school and will be guided by unified beliefs, vision and mission.

The uniqueness of each program is based in large part to the manner in which we modify the content, process, product, and learning environment in order to meet the specific needs of each child. Skills related to student collaboration and teamwork are delivered by means of "hands-on, inquiry-based instruction, integration of the arts, and intense focus on research and utilization of technology. Resources are shared between programs and all students are offered opportunities to engage, challenge, and mentor each other in a manner that will be culturally/personally affirming and academically rich. We create a sense of community where each individual knows that he or she is respected and valued.

The programs take advantage of the opportunity to experience education at its highest level. By participating in a joint endeavor, all members of Sterling School will deepen their understanding of issues, which influence our community and country and will gain perspective regarding the diversity that characterizes our world. Additionally, there are frequent opportunities for students to contribute to the exchange of ideas and knowledge within and beyond their learning community. Beyond the academic statistics, it is the hope of the parents and community that all students will learn how to be leaders of their own lives and will reach their true potential.

# **Information and Analysis**

#### SCHOOL PROFILE 2016-2017

**Instructional and Organizational Effectiveness** 

2016-2017	Tot	al	Ster	ling	C.	ΓC
White	400	47%	46	12%	354	87%
Hispanic	23	3%	15	4%	8	2%
African American	343	40%	334	84%	9	2%
Asian	64	8%	2	1%	62	14%
Multiple	18	2%			18	4%
Total	848		397		451	
			46%		54%	

**The Sterling Elementary** student ethnicity is 12% White, 84% African-American, and 4% Hispanic. The distribution is even across grade levels.

**The Charles Townes Center** student ethnicity is 87% White, 2% African-American, and 14% Asian. The distribution is generally even across grade levels.

	Lunch Status 2016-2017								
2016-2017	To	otal	Ste	rling	Charles Townes Center				
Free	321	38%	309	78%	12	3%			
Reduced	31	4%	21	5%	10	2%			
Paid	497	59%	68	17%	429	95%			
Total	849		398		451				

**The Sterling Elementary** student population has 78% of the students receiving Free lunch, 5% Reduced and 17% Paid.

**The Charles Townes Center** student population has 3% of the students receiving Free lunch, 2 % Reduced and 95% Paid.

# **SPECIAL EDUCATION**

Special Education Students	Resource	Speech
2011-2012	17	27
2012-2013	17	53
2014-2015	29	61
2015-2016	30	61
2016-2017	37	59

# **Attendance and Mobility**

	Sterling Program Statistics 2016-2017											
	Total	White	African- American	Hispanic	Asian	Special Permission	1st Choice	Nicholtown	Heritage Commons			
4K	33	5	22	4	2	22	11	5	6			
5K	56	6	47	3	0	8	48	24	24			
1st	60	10	48	2	0	23	37	15	22			
2nd	52	5	46	1	0	18	34	11	23			
3rd	68	8	58	2	0	20	48	20	28			
4th	71	6	63	2	0	34	37	19	18			
5th	57	6	50	1	0	24	33	14	19			
Total	397	46	334	15	2	149	248	108	140			
Percentage	397	12%	84%	4%	1%	38%	64%	44%	56%			

	Sterling Program Enrollment by Change in Assignment Status 2010-2016													
	Special Perm 2010	Special Perm 2011	Special Perm 2012	Special Perm 2013	Special Perm 2014	Special Perm 2015	Special Perm 2015	1st Choice 2010	1st Choice 2011	1st Choice 2012	1st Choice 2013	1st Choice 2014	1st Choice 2015	1st Choice 2015
4K	42%	55%	58%	38%	50%	38%	67%	58%	45%	43%	63%	50%	63%	33%
5K	37%	51%	48%	36%	33%	36%	14%	63%	49%	52%	64%	67%	64%	86%
1st	26%	66%	50%	43%	38%	43%	38%	74%	34%	50%	57%	62%	57%	62%
2nd	53%	59%	66%	52%	50%	52%	35%	47%	41%	34%	48%	50%	48%	65%
3rd	72%	53%	56%	65%	47%	65%	29%	28%	47%	44%	35%	53%	35%	71%
4th	62%	67%	58%	51%	55%	51%	48%	38%	33%	43%	49%	45%	49%	52%
5th		61%	70%	52%	53%	52%	42%		39%	30%	48%	47%	48%	58%
Total	47%	59%	58%	48%	46%	41%	38%	53%	41%	42%	52%	54%	59%	62%
Students	101	181	198	181	190	161	149	116	128	146	193	222	227	248

The Sterling Elementary Program student body is composed of 62% from the First Choice attendance area (up from 52% the prior year) and 38% Special Permission (down from 48%).

The Charles Townes Center student population is stable. Student attendance rates at **Sterling School** have remained steady over the past few years with less than 2% turnover. **Sterling School** has an average daily attendance rate of 98 percent.

Sterling School Attendance Rate 2009-2013							
2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2013-2014				
97.3%	99.3%	97.5%	97.86%				

# Sterling School Staff

Sterling School boasts a dynamic faculty and staff. There are 54 teachers in grades 4K through 8. We have three guidance counselors, an instructional coach, two full-time Spanish teachers, an Enrichment Facilitator, Administrative Assistant and an Assistant Principal. We benefit from having full-time related arts staff in the areas of art, music, and physical

education. Sixty-one percent of teachers hold Masters' degrees. All Charles Townes Center teachers are certified to teach gifted students.

Additional personnel include the school secretary, attendance clerk, and guidance clerk and school nurse. We have two 4K aides and two 5K aides. The custodial staff includes a plant engineer and five full-time custodians. The cafeteria staff includes a cafeteria manager and five additional food service personnel.

#### Administration:

**Principal:** David M. Johnstone **2005-Current** 

College of Charleston 1990 Bachelors of Science -Special Education
Clemson University 1996 Masters in Education - Administration

1996 Assistant Principal Fountain Inn Elementary

1997-2005 Principal Fork Shoals School (IB World School)

2005 TIAA CREF Principal of the Year

2013-2014 South Carolina Arts Education Association Principal of the Year

**Assistant Principal:** Loretta Holmes **2012-Current Administrative Assistant:** Jeremy Murphy **2016-Current** 

#### Parent Involvement/Learning Climate

Parental involvement is encouraged through school communities and councils. Through collaborative efforts, parents assist with homework and encourage and honor their child's successes. Parent volunteers have logged thousands of hours; they help make copies, tutor students, and help with clubs and after school programs. **Sterling School** has an extremely involved PTA and School Improvement Council.

#### Philosophy

All students have a right to educational experiences that are engaging, worthwhile and that enable them to develop unique gifts, pursue natural talents and interests, and become motivated, lifelong learners. Parents, teachers, and administrators at **Sterling School** work cooperatively and share the responsibility to provide advanced learning opportunities at school and at home these opportunities teach students to communicate effectively, solve problems competently, think critically and creatively, and act responsibly.

#### **Counseling and Other Student Support Services**

Students at Sterling School receive quality support services from an outstanding staff. Support personnel include 2 guidance counselors, a guidance clerk, an instructional coach, a media specialist, , a literacy specialist, 2 speech pathologists, 1.5 resource teachers, and a

related arts team in art, music, and physical education. The addition of an assistant principal and creation of a school-wide Student Council and Mentoring Program have been instrumental in this area.

The guidance department at Sterling has a unique opportunity to overlay the Gifted Programming Standards from the National Association for Gifted Children with the South Carolina Guidance and Counseling Standards from the South Carolina State Department of Education. Recognizing the learning and developmental differences of all students, Sterling's counselors promote self-understanding, awareness, and both the cognitive and affective growth of our students. Counselors use the State Department's three broad student development areas of Learning to Learn, Learning to Live, and Learning to Work as the basis for Sterling's comprehensive program which incorporates classroom guidance lessons, small group guidance and counseling groups, and individual counseling sessions. Through a vertical articulation process, the counselors ensure that the Gifted Standards are infused throughout the entire spectrum of the guidance program.

#### **After-School Program**

**Sterling School** supports students through a number of after-school programs. There is a daily after-school program that provides homework help and academic support for 60 students each day. This is a fee-based program. There are also after-school enrichment programs such as: Running Club, Creative Writing Club, Film Club, Youth in Government, Beta Club, Lego Robotics, Speech and other activities to help students receive an array of integrated learning.

# Instructional and Organizational Effectiveness:

#### **Primary Educational Models:**

**Sterling School** has a strong academic tradition. With the growth of the Charles Townes Center and an array of leaders, emphasis on instructional models has varied. Regardless of the variety of approaches, academic achievement has improved every year of the school's existence. Improvement spans grade levels, cohort grade level groups of students and comparisons to gifted students across the district. These models are also being used to develop the inquiry-based program of the Sterling ElementaryProgram.

#### **Educational Models Used:**

- Renzulli The Enrichment Triad (scaffolding), focusing on Type III activities
- William and Mary Units
- Bloom's Revised Taxonomy
- Gardner & Taylor Multiple Intelligences & Talents
- Cooperative Learning

- Inquiry-based education
- M3: Math Curriculum (3<sup>rd</sup>-5<sup>th</sup> Grade)

#### **Primary Assessment Tools:**

- Rubrics to assess writing and performance
- Teacher Observations
- Self and peer evaluations/reflections by students
- Teacher-student conferencing
- Anecdotal records
- Individual writing portfolios with annual goals-based conferences
- Performance assessment

Sterling School uses the South Carolina State and Greenville County District Academic Standards as foundations to build integrated, inquiry/problem-based units of instruction. In English Language Arts, students are taught through a balanced literacy model, which includes reading, writing, spelling and independent reading. English Lanuage Arts is closely tied with Social Studies through the use of integrated units. Students read historical fiction and use the content, skills and strategies to synthesize information in order to apply new knowledge to other areas. In Science, the students use the District-provided science kits to develop a strong understanding of the science process skills. Students actively research and apply these skills through the use of scientific journals, written lab reports, science fair projects and research projects.

#### **English Language Arts:**

Students benefit from an enriched language arts curriculum which includes creative, challenging, and developmentally appropriate skills in language usage, writing, integration of social studies and literature-based instruction culminating in authentic learning experiences. Each grade level strives to use innovative and active learning techniques that enhance student learning, while complementing and extending skills and knowledge previously acquired. Teachers work collaboratively on a regular basis and utilize shared information taken from individual learning styles, multiple intelligences, and learning profiles to best teach to each student's strengths. The department designs and extends lessons and units based on the state ELA Standards. In middle grades, student preparation to excel in rigorous high school coursework is a focus.

#### **Reading:**

#### Strengths:

- Most students read on or above grade level (CTC)
- Response to Intervention Program for struggling readers (5K-2<sup>nd</sup>) (+2011)
  - Differentiated reading groups to serve varied student abilites. (+2011)

#### **Writing:**

#### Strengths:

@

- Good base knowledge
- Proficiency in creative writing (CTC)
- Vertically articulate the writing process (+2011)

Scaffolding for reluctant writers

# Spelling, Grammar, and Capitalization:

#### Strengths:

- Good base knowledge
- Able to write complete sentences (CTC)
- Word Walls and vocabulary displayed in all grades
- Rigorous grammar instruction through vertical articulation (CTC)
- Teachers modle the wiriting process

#### **Reading Comprehension:**

#### Strengths:

- Most students read on or above grade level (CTC)
- Shared reading includes a variety of genres and topics

#### Math:

The math curricula at Sterling School are designed to promote problem solving skills which can be utilized across all curriculum areas, including the related arts. Problem solving is collaborative and real world applications demonstrate relevance to students. Rigor is provided through vertical articulation, higher level thinking skills, as well as fluidity in class placement. Students are expected to demonstrate appropriate levels of accuracy based on developmental levels and the task at hand.

#### Strengths:

- Strong problem solving abilities
- Stretch to abstract concepts
- Desire to learn
- Solid understanding of basic concepts
- Oifferentiated instruction
- Use of a variety of instructional strategies (best practices)
- Integration of technology
- Utilization of manipulatives

#### Science:

Inquiry-based learning is the cornerstone of the science curricula at **Sterling School**. Handson science experiences are practiced in both the classroom and science lab settings. Students participate in research projects, lab experiments, and the technological design process for which teachers hold high expectations of accuracy and understanding. Real world application opportunities are provided through experiences such as the District-sponsored Science Fair and Invention Convention. Students are also expected to show a comprehensive knowledge of science content as well. Integration across the curriculum is an important aspect of the science curricula.

Vertical articulation, higher-level questioning and thinking skills, expert speakers, independent labs, and technological aids promote a rigorous science experience at **Sterling School**.

#### Strengths:

- Strong technology backgrounds
- Students are creative thinkers, problem solvers
- Ability to differentiate lessons for a variety of student abilities and interests
- High interest in reading non-fiction material.
- Inquiry based learning.

#### Social Studies:(2011)

The Social Studies curricula at **Sterling School** are founded on state and district standards and focus on three important education models. First, students are expected to solve inquiry-based problems. Second, teachers utilize a variety of mediums to present to and provide experiences for students in the Inter-related Arts model. Mediums include drama, writing, collage, painting, sculpture, pottery and music. Third, students experience cultural, economic, governmental, and societal differences between cultures with the simulation model. Within these models, technology and writing skills are integrated as students conduct research and create authentic assessments. Reading is emphasized in both the genres of fiction and nonfiction through independent reading as well as literature circles. Students apply these skills through extensive research reports, National History Day projects, and other inquiry-based projects.

#### **Strengths:**

- Strong reading comprehension skills
- Critical thinking
- Thinking from multiple perspectives
- Guest speakers and historical presentations are used to enhance the curriculum
- Content is integrated well with other curriculum areas and arts
- Real world application

#### **Modern Languages Program: (Spanish)**

The Modern Languages Program at **Sterling School** offers articulated instruction in Spanish to all third through eight grade students. All levels of modern language instruction support national and state standards for modern languages. The curricula are made up of thematic units designed to address the "5 C's": Culture, Comparisons, Connections, Communication, and Communities. Each unit ends with an integrated performance assessment (IPA) which addresses the three components of the Communication standard: the Interpersonal, Presentational and Interpretive modes.

The elementary program provides content-based instruction one day per week for a total of 50 minutes in the target language. All instruction is conducted in the target language.

The middle school program provides Spanish I and Spanish II for high school credit in  $7^{th}$  and  $8^{th}$  grades, while the  $6^{th}$  grade curriculum supports and prepares students for this transition. Students in the  $7^{th}$  and  $8^{th}$  grades attend Spanish daily for both semesters as part of their core classes.

#### Strengths:

- Daily exposure to the target language
- Demonstrations of perseverance and courage
- © Curricula follow national and state standards
- Use of backwards design
- Vertical articulation

#### **Media Center:**

The purpose of the library media program is to ensure that students and teachers can locate, evaluate and use information effectively. The library media specialist and classroom teacher collaborate to teach information and media literacy skills to students in the context of their classroom instruction and at the point of instructional need. The library media center provides programs and access to information in a variety of formats to foster a love of reading and create lifelong learners who are able to use their information skills to pursue their personal and academic interests.

#### Media Center Usage Statistics:

Media Center Activity Summary	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012
Percentage of class use	22%	57%	61.40%
Average daily walk-in traffic	436	412	797
Total number of material circulations	22,445	27,079	25,938
Total number of student circulations	18,425	18,922	19,130
Average per pupil yearly circulation	30.2	25	21.3
Ending average collection age	2003	2003	2004
Percentage of yearly collection renewal	5.8%	7.2%	5.58%

#### Suggested Program Improvements (from teacher and student surveys)

Teachers would like to get into the library more easily because they want access to the faster computers here. They also stated that the professional library did not meet their needs and that the media specialist could offer more useful professional development. The number of responses stating that the teachers do not collaborate with other teachers on their instructional units is higher than I expected; however, none of the questions asked if teachers would like to collaborate more with the media specialist making it difficult to tell if teachers perceive this as a problem. The number of negative responses to the question about incorporating literacy instruction and reading promotion within the classroom curriculum is a concern.

#### Strengths:

- High interest in reading
- Teachers and students afforded a diverse range of media and technology

The library media center is an open and friendly environment for students and staff to meet their personal and academic needs.

#### Plan:

- Appropriate young adult fiction and nonfiction that fits the student's curricular needs will be addressed through collection development.
- The library teacher will conduct more media literacy lessons and events
- The library teacher will create more events based on socialization, book talks, reading and will incorporate gaming.
- A technology committee will form within the school to address technology issues and open communication about the effective use of technology.

#### **Music:**

#### **Singing and Playing**

Using conventional and non-conventional music reading, students use their voices expressively as they speak, chant, and sing. They sing a variety of simple songs in various keys, meters, and genres, alone and with a group, becoming increasingly accurate in rhythm and pitch.

Students learn tecniques to properly play their chosen instrument and master it through the disciplines of personal practice and participation in group instrumental settings.

#### **Creating Music**

Students improvise songs to accompany activities. They improvise instrumental accompaniments to songs, recorded selections, stories, poems, and create short pieces of music, using voices, instruments, and other sound sources. Students invent and use original graphic or symbolic systems to represent vocal and instrumental sounds and musical ideas.

#### **Responding To Music**

Students identify the sources of a wide variety of sounds. They respond through movement to music of various tempos, meters, dynamics, modes, genres, and styles to express what they hear and feel in works of music. Students are given many opportunities to participate freely in music activities.

#### **Understanding Music**

Students use their own vocabulary and standard music vocabulary to describe voices, instruments, music notation, and music of various genres, styles, and periods from diverse cultures. They sing, play instruments, move, and verbalize to demonstrate awareness of the elements of music and changes in their usage. Students are able to demonstrate an awareness of music as a part of daily life.

#### **Arts Integration:**

Arts integration would not be possible in the absence of a strong "Arts for Art's Sake" program. Arts integration naturally allows students with strengths in the arts to take leadership roles. The areas of perserverance, courage and creativity are directly tapped by arts integration.

#### Strengths:

- Strong arts program
- Arts specialists have extensive training in Arts Integration
- Some success in implementing integrated units
- Three grade levels & related arts have had Arts Integration training; (SmartArts)
- Strong parent support

#### **Visual Arts:**

#### Strengths:

- Out –of- the- box approach
- Interesting materials
- School-wide focus on art
- Integration with classroom teachers
- Focus on Thinking Ideas behind art
- Focus on art history and contemporary artists
- Real-life art applications (2011)

#### **Physical Education:**

#### **Strengths:**

- Wariety of content
- Integration with classroom teachers
- Assisting in incorporation of movement strategies in the regular classroom
- Students willing to try new things
- Strong parent support
- Focus on student leadership and character education

#### **Technology:**

#### STRATEGIC VISION for TECHNOLOGY

From The National Education Technology Plan 2010 (NETP) – U.S. Department of Education. Available online at <a href="http://www.ed.gov/technology/netp-2010">http://www.ed.gov/technology/netp-2010</a>.

The challenge for our education system is to leverage the learning sciences and modern technology to create engaging, relevant, and personalized learning experiences for all learners that mirror students' daily lives and the reality of their futures.

Technology should be leveraged to provide access to more learning resources than are available in classrooms and connections to a wider set of "educators," including teachers, parents, experts, and mentors outside the classroom. It also should be used to enable 24/7 and lifelong learning.

Whether the domain is English language arts, mathematics, sciences, social studies, history, art, or music, 21st-century competencies and such expertise as critical thinking, complex problem solving, collaboration, and multimedia communication should be woven into all content areas. These competencies are necessary to become expert learners, which we all must be if we are to adapt to our rapidly changing world over the course of our lives.

In a connected teaching model, classroom educators are fully connected to learning data and tools for using the data; to content, resources, and systems that empower them to create, manage, and assess engaging and relevant learning experiences; and directly to their students in support of learning both in and out of school.

#### To reach this vision, we must:

- Expand opportunities for educators to have access to technology-based content, resources, and tools where and when they need them.
- Provide access to technology-based resources that inspire educators to provide more engaging and effective learning opportunities for each student.
- Provide ... in-service...with professional learning experiences powered by technology to increase [educators'] digital literacy and enable them to create compelling assignments for students that improve learning, assessment, and instructional practices.
- Ensure that every student and educator has at least one Internet access device and appropriate software and resources for research, communication, multimedia content creation, and collaboration for use in and out of school.

Current data on the use of educational and information technology in our system consists of records of purchases and numbers of computers and Internet connections. Only by shifting our focus to collecting data on how and when technology is used will we be able to determine the difference it makes and use that knowledge to improve outcomes and the productivity of our education system.

Our hope is that Sterling's teachers will experiment with such resources as online learning, online tutoring and mentoring, games, cognitive tutors, immersive environments, and participatory communities and social networks both within and across education institutions to give students guidance and information about their own learning progress and strategies for seamless completion of a comprehensive P–16 education.

#### We include three categories:

- information literacy, the ability to identify, retrieve, evaluate, and use information for a variety of purposes;
- media literacy, the ability to consume and understand media, as well as communicate effectively using a variety of media types;
- and digital citizenship, the ability to evaluate and use technologies appropriately, behave in socially acceptable ways within online communities, and develop a healthy understanding of issues surrounding online privacy and safety.

Answers to questions about 21st-century learning also must take into account that people no longer can learn everything there is to know in a lifetime, and the economic reality is that most people will

change jobs throughout their lives. Therefore, we need adaptive learning skills that blend content knowledge with the ability to learn new things.

#### Items we must address as a school and a district:

<u>Low-income and minority learners</u>. [Students who are]... less likely to have computers and Internet access and have fewer people in their social circles with the skills to support technology-based learning at home.

Potential Solution(s): extended hours for use of networked computers in schools, libraries, community centers, etc., 1 to 1 computing equipment accessible for home use. Allow use of devices owned by the student or family, owned by the school, or some combination of the two. The use of devices owned by students will require advances in network filtering and improved support systems.

<u>Early childhood</u>: To keep pace with their peers, these children especially need intervention that augments the linguistic, visual, and symbolic worlds that learners experience and seek to emulate.

Solution(s): Computer-based activities and resources

<u>Broadband</u>: "Adequate" means enough bandwidth to support simultaneous use by all students and educators anywhere in the building and the surrounding campus to routinely use the Web, multimedia, and collaboration software.

#### Accessible Devices for Every Student and Educator

Because an infrastructure for learning should support learning in and out of the classroom, students and educators need Internet-access devices for around-the-clock use from any location. Internet access devices are continually evolving and today include desktop computers, laptops, net books, public access kiosks, mobile phones, portable digital players, and wireless readers.

In the past, districts were reluctant to allow students to use their own devices in school because of concerns about the unfair advantage of affluent students who are more likely to have the latest devices and the risk of students accessing inappropriate Internet content or using their connectivity to cheat on tests. However, districts are finding that a combination of acceptable use policies and staff training makes student use of personal digital devices both feasible and safe. Purchasing devices for students who need such financial support is more cost-effective than purchasing devices for every student. Districts can think about providing an access device and Internet access at home for those students who need them in the same way they provide a free or reduced-price hot lunch for students who could not otherwise afford it. In choosing the devices to provide for students who otherwise would not have them, districts need to make sure that all their students have devices that support writing, analysis, and the creation of digital content related to their courses, not just consumption of content created by others.

We at Sterling School support the creation of an acceptable-use policy that would allow students to use personal cell phones and other computing devices in school.

We understand that each educator should have a discussion with his or her students to set ground rules for usage.

#### We agree that

- Cell phones could be used in class for working on assignments only.
- Text or video should be sent only with the educator's permission.
- No photographing or video- or audio-recording of people is to be allowed without their permission.
- No posting to websites is to be allowed without permission,
- Online safety precautions are to be taken when publishing from a mobile phone.

**Technology ideas:** Teachers could use cell phone applications for polling and to set up an online text messaging board to discuss homework. Teachers could use cell phones while teaching, asking students to answer questions via text messaging rather than out loud. As student answers came in, they were displayed on a screen at the front of the class, identified by the student's cell phone screen name. English teachers, in particular, found the cell phones useful as they started using blogs to engage students in writing. One class used Twitter to collaborate in generating stories in class.

#### Specific examples of individual and collaborative learning with technology may include the following:

- Inquiry and adventure environments with games and activities that foster learning.
- Online "collaboratories" (National Science Foundation 2008a) in which scientists establish protocols for collecting data with sensors from local environments across the planet. Learners and teachers learn science by doing science as they capture, upload, and then visualize and analyze geospatial and temporal data patterns from the data contributed by the globally networked community.
- Earth- and sky-mapping Web resources with data from the sciences and other fields of scholarly inquiry that anyone can use to develop virtual travel tours to be applied in learning and teaching activities.
- Augmented reality platforms and games that bring locally relevant learning resources into view for users of mobile devices with a GPS (Johnson et al. 2010).
- Use of the power of collective intelligence and crowd sourcing to tackle complex interdisciplinary problems.
- Powerful learning applications for mobile Internet access devices, such as musical instrument simulators, language-learning tools, and mathematical games.

#### Student Support Systems

Recognizing that some students need support beyond that which is available in the classroom, Sterling School has developed, with the District, a variety of special services:

- We have one part-time special education resource teacher who works with students with learning disabilities.
- We have a part-time audiologist who teaches speech and language skills to students with those areas of difficulty.

When a teacher determines that a student has a need requiring special attention, the student is referred to the A- Team Child Assistance Team. The purpose of the team referral is three fold:

- To assist and advise the regular classroom teacher of potential interventions.
- To recommend screening for possible areas of difficulty.
- If needed, to recommend formal testing of student for a disability.

#### **Certified Staff Evaluation Measures**

#### Principal: Performance Assessment System for Administrators (PAS-A)

Greenville County Schools' Performance Assessment System for Administrators (PAS-A) is a process for collecting and presenting data to document performance that is based on well-defined job expectations. PAS-A is a modified form of the South Carolina Assisting, Developing, and Evaluating Principal Performance (ADEPP) requirements.

#### <u>Instructional Coach: Performance Assessment System for Instructional Coaches (PAS-IC)</u>

Greenville County Schools' Performance Assessment System for Instructional Coaches (PAS-IC) is also a process for collecting and presenting data to document performance that is based on well-defined job expectations.

#### <u>Teachers Performance Assessment System for Teachers (PAS-T)</u>

Greenville County Schools' Performance Assessment System for Teachers (PAS-T) is a process for collecting and presenting data to document performance that is based on well-defined job expectations. PAS-T is a modified form of the South Carolina ADEPT requirements.

# Leadership

#### School Improvement Council

Composed of parents and teachers, the Council meets monthly and meetings are open to the public. The meeting times are posted in the parent handbook and are found in monthly parent updates. The principal serves as an ex-officio member.

Each member of the committee has been part of a sub-committee whose job is to evaluate and review the progress of the School Improvement Plan. Each meeting, committees report their findings, answer follow-up questions and then set actions for the next meeting. The committee also completes the annual School Report to the Community.

The purposes of the Council are:

1. To gain knowledge concerning the purpose and goals of the school.

- 2. To disseminate information to other parents and citizens within the community and to clarify information concerning the school programs.
- 3. To offer for consideration to the school principal suggestions concerning program improvements with Respect to student needs and program operation within the individual school, area, or district.
- 4. To assist in the preparation of the Annual School reports as required by the School Finance Act of 1977 and ACT 135 of 1993.

School Improvement Council 2015-2016						
Anne Spence	Chair- Parent	Jane Snyder	Community Member			
Ryan Pinkerman	Parent					
Crystal Andrews	Parent	Casey Noble	Guidance ex-offcio			
Amy Brown	Teacher	David Johnstone	Principal ex-offcio			
Lydia Cooper	Teacher	Katy Smith	PTA President Ex-offcio			
Hope Howard	Teacher	Joy Blue	Parent			
Sarah Everman	Parent	Darian Blue	Community Member			
Dr. Karen Sparkman	Community Member	Delores Durham	Community Member			

#### School Based Leadership

At the school level, the Principal, Assistant Principal, Guidance Counselor, and Instructional Coach meet on a weekly basis to review the progress made in the budget, instructional needs, and for communication about and the scheduling of activities. Teachers plan collaboratively in weekly meetings and vertical meetings are held across grade levels based on curriculum areas.

# **Partnerships**

Sterling has developed a robust partnership plan through the goals of the Strategic Plan. The plan is carried out by the guidance department in coordination with PTA. Partners and activities are summarized below.

Business/organization							
Mice On Main	Service	Papa John's	Donation				
Bob Jones University Press	Service	Fisher Law Firm	Service				
The Fresh Market	Donation	Greenville County Probate Court	Service				
Chick-Fil-A (Haywood Road)	Donation	University Center of Greenville	Service				
Wal-Mart (Pelham Road)	Donation	Sit-N-Spin Studios	Service				
Bon Secours St. Francis Health System	Service	Sam's Club	Service				
Greenville Technical College	Donation/Service	3M	Service				
Greenville Society for Human Resource Management	Service	Fluor	Service				
YouthBASE	Service	Foothills Veterinary Hospital	Service				
Greenville County Schools FANS	Donation/Service	Immedion	Service				
City of Greenville	Service	New York Life	Service				

Corley Plumbing	Service	Upstate Area Health	Donation
		Education Center	
Greenlink	Service	Critter Keeper	Service
Greenwood Genetic Center	Service	Furman University	Service
Hands on Greenville	Service	CH2M Hill	Service
Clemson University	Service	Automation Engineering	Service
The Governor's School for the	Service	Bob Jones Museum and	Service
Arts and Humanities		Gallery	
Greenville City Fire	Service		
Department			

#### **Volunteer Hours:**

Develop parent workshops, orientations and personal partnerships development to cover CTC, PEP and continuum of student's developmental and academic needs

#### Leadership

#### Establish a leadership speakers program

Linda Kelly- Mice on Main Dr. Savita Nair- Furman University

Jayce Tromsness- SC Governor's School for the Arts and Dr. Leta Tribble- Greenwood Genetic Center

Humanities

Ebony Sullivan- Bon Secours St. Francis

Bill Dingledine- Educational Directions

Ginger Lawrence- Greenville Tech/Greenville Society for Dr. Melissa Ranhofer- Furman University

Human Resource Management Travis Wyatt- New York Life

Travis Wyatt- New York Life

Jennifer Sharp- GCS FANS
Rachel Leiterman- Bon Secours St. Francis

Amy Dishner- Fluor

Jon Wood- 3M

Dr. Daniel Randall- Foothills Veterinary Hospital
Linda Greer- Sam's Club

Randy Miller- Critter Keeper

Chief Roy Mack- Greenville City Fire Department
Xanthene Norris- Greenville County Council

Chris McCall- Immedion Maxine White- artist

Dr. Joe Pollard- Furman University

Tony Griffin- Bethlehem Baptist Church

John Brady- CH2M Hill

Sam Cureton- Greenville County Sheriff's Office

Laura Palis- Automation Engineering Kelly Mac- 107.3 JAMZ

Meghan Bradley- 3M Joey Freeman- Greenville County Recreation

Department

Rima Hourani- Bob Jones Museum and Gallery

Daily Wardlaw- Small Smiles Dental Clinic

Jay Dugaw- The University Center

Willie Johnson

Tracy Sharp-Robertson- Greenville County Probate Court

Charlene Gilliam- Greenville County Workforce

Bobby Caples- YouthBASE Lillian Flemming- Greenville City Council
Adam Fisher- Fisher Law Firm Dr. Cheryl Warner- Clemson University

Alex Gorski- attorney James Thompson- Dispoz-o
James Satterfield- Clemson University Betty Owens- African Heritage Institute

Karl Allen- SC House of Representatives
Reverend Calvin Hailstock- Growing Up
Sherry Whiteside- US Army
Don Shabkie

Yvette Edwards- Life Chiropractic Clinic Carolyn Elsea- Greenville Society for Human Resource

Management

Loubelle Graham- Bank of America E. Richard Walton- The Greenville News

# Develop partners to develop student mentoring and leadership internships within and outside of school

# Business/organization Fluor Big Brothers Big Sisters Mentoring Shriner's Hospital Career & Volunteer Fair; volunteer opportunities for students The Salvation Army Career & Volunteer Fair; volunteer opportunities for students Career & Volunteer Fair; volunteer opportunities for students Career & Volunteer Fair; volunteer opportunities for students United Way Career & Volunteer Fair; volunteer opportunities for students Career & Volunteer Fair; volunteer opportunities for students Career & Volunteer Fair; volunteer opportunities for students Career & Volunteer Fair; volunteer opportunities for students

Miracle Hill

SC Vocational Rehabilitation Greenville Humane Society

A Child's Haven United Ministries

Boy Scouts of America Blue Ridge

Council

Ronald McDonald House Hands on Greenville Career & Volunteer Fair; volunteer opportunities for students Career & Volunteer Fair; volunteer opportunities for students

Career & Volunteer Fair; volunteer opportunities for students Career & Volunteer Fair; volunteer opportunities for students

#### 8<sup>th</sup> grade job shadowing hosts

Ronald S. Wilson, DMD

The Reynolds Company- Adhesives and

Coatings

Roper Mountain Science Center

Florence + Hutcheson Furman University

Family Practice Associates of Easley

The Children's Clinic

Pediatric and Adolescent Dentistry Cancer Center of the Carolinas

Fine Arts Center

Annette Duncan (self-employed)

The Greenville Zoo

Pazdan-Smith Group Architects Greenville Humane Society Simpsonville City Hall Team Greenville

Urban and Coastal Renovations

Automation Engineering

O'Neal Hubbell Inc. Hubbell Inc.

Cupcake Couture and Catering Simpsonville Dental Associates

O-Cha Tea Bar Sit N' Spin

**Downtown Coffee House and Creamery** 

DP3 Architects

Children's Hospital Outpatient Center Smith Moore Leatherwood, LLP

Justin's Beck Academy

Fluor

Plain Elementary Greenville Forward Eastside Guitars and Drums Clemson University Genetics Dept. Pinnacle Interior Design Studios

The City of Greenville Foothills Pediatric

# Mission, Values and Beliefs

#### Mission

Provide a differentiated; challenging education to meet the distinctive intellectual, social and emotional needs of our students

#### **Vision**

Nurtured by a supportive environment, students will surpass academic challenges, develop as leaders, form collaborative relationships with peers and learn to lead. Our graduates will be independent, productive, responsible and creative individuals capable of making original contributions to society.

#### **Beliefs:**

To support a first-rate education for our students, we believe the following:

- Students of exceptionally high intellectual potential do not simply learn faster, they often learn in a qualitatively different way; they deserve appropriate rigor, complexity, and depth in what they learn, as well as opportunities to demonstrate significant academic and intellectual growth.
- Fostering a love of learning is a shared enterprise among home, school, community and student, and we will communicate openly and work together to support the intellectual curiosity and active participation by students in managing their learning.
- Students obtain personal academic growth through self-evaluation of their approach to learning.
- Compassion, courage, creativity, perseverance, integrity and aspiration are essential leadership skills.
- Students deserve opportunities for service, leadership, appreciation of cultural diversity, creative expression, and activities that enrich their learning.

# Data Analysis and Needs Assessment

#### Student Achievement Needs Assessment

**Sterling School** relies on test scores from the PASS, MAP tests, Cognitive Abilities Test and the Iowa Basic Skills Test to assess student achievement. Setting the highest achievement expectations for students, we are committed to meeting Adequate Yearly Progress standards set by NCLB guidelines. We strive to continually improve student performance on standardized tests. We also use MAP testing to measure student progress over time.

#### **ITBS**

	ITBS: Historical										
	2nd 2008	2nd 2009	2nd 2010	2nd 2011	2nd 2012	2nd 2013	2nd 2014	2nd 2015	2nd 2016		
Vocabulary	84	82	73								
Reading Comprehension	80	78	71	65	70	63	46	63	50		
Reading Total	85	82	74								
Spelling	80	77	74								
Language Total	75	83	72								
Concepts & Estimation	61	81	68	58	51	53	39	50	34		
Problem Solving	69	80	74	56	61	61					
Computation	57	75	54								
Math	66	82	71	56	56	57					
Core	76	82	72								
CoGAT	78	84	72	66	61	56	42	56	40		

The 2<sup>nd</sup> grade scores are Sterling Elementary students. The achievement levels are level or better than the CogAT percentiles. In 2011, the district limited the sections administered to math and reading. Reading comprehension continues to be strong. Math scores lag reading, however this pattern is found district wide as well.

#### **PASS**

PASS is South Carolina's statewide assessment based on the Academic State Standards. The PASS test classifies students into 3 areas: Exemplary, Proficient, and Not Met. Most students score in the

proficient and exemplary levels. The PASS scores noted for the Charles Townes Center below show the percentage of students scoring in the Exemplary category. The Sterling Elementary scores are shown by scoring category.

# **Sterling Program PASS Scores:**

	4th Graders PASS Scores 2011-2016														
Sci 2011	Sci 2012	Sci 2013	Sci 2014	Sci 2015	2016	District	State	SS 2011	SS 2012	SS 2013	SS 2014	SS 2015	2016	District	State
22%	15%	18%	11%	5%	10%	15%	13%	44%	36%	45%	32%	32%	27%	42%	36%
48%	60%	58%	55%	52%	35%	60%	57%	41%	40%	43%	57%	52%	43%	47%	50%
30%	25%	25%	34%	43%	55%	25%	30%	15%	23%	13%	11%	17%	29%	11%	15%

	5th Graders PASS Scores 2011-2016												
Sci 2012	Sci 2013	Sci 2014	Sci 2015	Sci 2016	District	State	SS 2012		SS 2014		SS 2016	District	State
38%	29%	16%	12%	15%	25%	19%	32%	42%	35%	25%	27%	43%	34%
38%	38%	74%	49%	40%	49%	47%	47%	35%	30%	51%	44%	36%	37%
25%	33%	11%	39%	45%	26%	34%	21%	23%	35%	24%	29%	22%	29%

#### **Charles Townes Center PASS Scores**

1	PASS Scores: Charles Townes Center Percent Exemplary 2012-2016										
	Sci 2012	Sci 2013	Sci 2014	Sci 2015	Sci 2016	SS 2012	SS 2013	SS 2014	SS 2015	SS 2015	
	95%	91%	94%			100%	95%	100%			
i	81%	89%	97%	81%	89%	100%	99%	99%	96%	93%	
	100%	93%	92%	96%	94%	97%	100%	97%	99%	97%	
;	92%	92%	89%	87%	84%	92%	92%	81%	79%	88%	
;	93%	93%	100%	88%	95%	95%	97%	96%	95%	93%	
j	100%	97%	100%	99%	91%	97%	100%	97%	93%	90%	

# **ACT Aspire 2014-2015**

Teacher	English % Ready	English National Percentile	Reading% Ready	Reading National Percentile	Writing% Ready	Writing National Percentile	Math % Ready	Math National Percentile
3rd CTC	97%	97.0	99%	95	55%	89.0	99%	98.0
3rd Sterling	46%	29	23%	43	2%	51	46%	50
District	70%	56	39%	54	19%	63	67%	76
4th CTC	100%	98	99%	96	55%	87	100%	98
4th Sterling	58%	44	30%	50	12%	65.0	47%	61.7
District	71%	55	39%	57	23%	65.0	58%	74.0
5th CTC	99%	98.3	97%	96	51%	81.3	100%	99.0
5th Sterling	59%	48.0	33%	55	21%	58.0	47%	57.0
District	73%	65.0	43%	61	40%	58.0	56%	70.0
6th	99%	97	100%	96	81%	87	100%	99
District	72%	60	43%	59	40%	51	59%	70
7th	99%	96	97%	96	84%	95	100%	98
District	75%	55	44%	59	33%	65	43%	61
8th	100%	95	100%	95	87%	95	99%	99
District	76%	55	52%	58	33%	61	37%	61

# **ESEA Rating**

	0		
Year	Grade/Rating	<b>Elementary Points</b>	Middle School
			Points
2014	A 98.1	96.9 A	100 A
2013	A 99.1	98.6 A	100 A
2012	A 99.1	98.6 A	100 A
2011	Met		
2010	Met		
2009	Met		

#### **End of Course Testing**

Students take End of Course Exams for two of the five high school courses offered at Sterling School.

#### Honors Algebra I

2008: 98.4 Mean Scale Score (49 students: All 7<sup>th</sup> grade) 2009: 98.5 Mean Scale Score (67 students: 7<sup>th</sup> & 8<sup>th</sup> grade) 2010: 97.9 Mean Scale Score (67 students: 7<sup>th</sup> & 8<sup>th</sup> grade) 2011 96.9 Mean Scale Score (69 students 7<sup>th</sup> & 8<sup>th</sup> grade)

- 2012 97.2 Mean scale Score (80 students 7<sup>th</sup> & 8<sup>th</sup> grade)
- 2013 97.4 Mean Scale Score (74 students 7<sup>th</sup> & 8<sup>th</sup> grade)
- 2014 99.2 Mean Scale Score (90 Students 7<sup>th</sup> & 8<sup>th</sup> grade)
- 2015 99.8 Mean Scale Score (63 Students 7<sup>th</sup> & 8<sup>th</sup> Grade)
- 2016 96.4 Mean Scale Score (89 Students 7<sup>th</sup> & 8<sup>th</sup> Grade)

#### **Honors English I**

2009: 96.5 Mean Scaled Score (31 students: All 8<sup>th</sup> grade)

2010: 96.9 Mean Scaled Score (31 students: All 8<sup>th</sup> grade)

2011: 96.4 Mean Scaled Score (51 students: All 8th grade)

2012 95.7 Mean Scaled Score (63 students: All 8th Grade)

2013 95.1 Mean Scaled Score (67 students: ALL 8<sup>th</sup> Grade)

2014 95.3 Mean Scale Score (70 students: ALL 8<sup>th</sup> Grade)

2015 98.5 Mean Scale Score (70 students: ALL 8<sup>th</sup> Grade)

2016 95.9 Mean Scale Score (70 students: ALL 8<sup>th</sup> Grade)

#### **Charles Townes Center Data: Gifted & Talented Resources**

#### John Hopkins University Center for Talented Youth

Students and parents are provided with information about this gifted outreach program for gifted students. Parents can pay to have their child assessed for eligibility. The school receives a report of the students who score "CTY High Honors" in Verbal and Quantitative categories.

The table below reflects student performance. (Target +25%)

Year	Students Tested	Percent Scoring High Honors	Percent Scoring High Honors					
		Verbal/Reading	Quantitative/Math					
		2009						
5 <sup>th</sup> & 6th	8	38%	38%					
2010								
5 <sup>th</sup> & 6th	13	23%	22%					
7 <sup>th</sup> /8 <sup>th</sup> ACT/SAT	7	29%	58%					
		2011						
5 <sup>th</sup> & 6th	3	66%	33%					
2 <sup>nd</sup> -6 <sup>th</sup>	9	66%	66%					
		2012						
3-7th	9	33%	33%					
		2013						
3-7th	6	12%	12%					

		2015	
3 <sup>rd</sup> -7 <sup>th</sup>	13	46%	15%

# **Duke University Talent Identification program**

Students and parents are provided with information about this gifted outreach program. Parents can pay to have their child assessed for eligibility through the SAT or ACT. The school receives a report of the students who met the eligibility criteria for State and Grand Recognition. The table below reflects student performance.

Year	Students Tested	Percent Scoring State Recognition	Percent Scoring Grand Recognition
2009	34	67%	12%
2010	29	79%	17%
2011	37	84%	16%
2012	28	86%	7%
2013	26	88%	30%
2015	14	79%	7%

#### PSAT Scores Historical: 2009-2013

**PSAT: Charles Townes Center** 

		Mea	n Score	
	Critical Reading	Math	Writing	Combined
2008-2009	51.6	56.3	50.6	158.5
2009-2010	53.4	55	52.5	160.9
2010-2011	54.8	54.5	51.7	161
2011-2012	57.3	53.3	52.9	163.5
2012-2013	53.6	53.9	53.7	161.2
2013-2014	54.6	55.2	52.5	162.3
2014-2015	54.1	56.6	53.7	164.4

# Teacher & Administrator Quality

# 2015-2017 Professional Development Plan

STRATEGY	<u>Timeline</u>	<u>Person</u> <u>Responsible</u>	Estimated Cost	Funding Sources	Indicators of Implementation
Activity					
CONTINUA: (4K-8 <sup>th</sup> ) Assessment: 2.4.12 Develop comprehensive formative and summative assessment data to inform instructional decision-making.	2013-2018	Classroom Teachers	TBD	General Fund	<ul> <li>Works samples</li> <li>Assessment notebooks</li> <li>Student Continua samples</li> <li>Enrich reports</li> <li>Student portfolios</li> </ul>
a. Classroom based formative assessments     b. Reading & Math Continua	2013-2018	Assessment Team Portfolio Team	\$500	General Fund	
Balanced Literacy: (5K-5 <sup>th</sup> Sterling)  1.2.3 Implement a comprehensive, balanced literacy model in grades PreK- 5	2013 – 2018				Student achievement data
a. Implement the Fountas and Pinnell framework in all elementary school.	2013-2015	Instructional Coach	\$30,000	General & Local Funds	

Curriculum:					
1.1.1 Create a clearly defined, standards-based, articulated curriculum for all students, grades PreK-8  a. Include 21st Century skills across all content areas  b. Provide innovative technology support for curriculum	2013 – 2018 2013-2018 2013-2018	Instructional Team  Technology Team	TBD \$125,000	General Fund Local Funds	<ul> <li>Lesson &amp; Unit Plans</li> <li>Unit Plans</li> <li>Observation Notes</li> <li>Unit Plans, Portal documents</li> <li>Lesson &amp; Unit Plans</li> </ul>
C.A.T.C.H  1.1.9 Improve the overall health and academic performance of all students through the implementation of a coordinated school health system.  a. Implement the Coordinated Approach To Child Health program (CATCH) for grades pre K-8	2013 – 2018 2013-2018	CATCH Committee	\$1,250	Award Money	<ul> <li>Activity Log</li> <li>Annual state report</li> <li>LiveWell Greenville Healthy Schools Assessment</li> </ul>
Differentiation:  1.1.4 Focus on the implementation of Response to Intervention (RTI) with fidelity  a. Continue to monitor and track student progress to determine the following:  • Retention implications • Number of students reading on grade level by the end of 2 <sup>nd</sup> grade		Administrative Assistant	\$10,000 \$40,000	Local Funds  General Funds Local Funds	AIMS Web Data MAP Test Scores Rigby Running Records Assessment Continuums

1.2.2 Emphasize differentiation and/or individualization of instruction through innovative, research-based instructional practices including the following:  a. One-to-one and personal electronic student devices  b. 21st Century learning environments  c. Project-based learning  d. Smart Arts Integration program  e. Design Thinking  f. Creative and targeted classroom		Instructional Team  Technology Team Technology Team Instructional Team Instructional Team CATCH Committee Arts Guild Instructional Team	See Tech Budget	PTA Funds  PTA Funds  General Funds  Local Funds	Student Activity Log Lesson Plans Enrichment Plans Anecdotal Notes Test Score Data Assessment Continuums
accommodations and modifications for diverse learners  g. Enrichment: Develop and implement school-wide additional enrichment, extensions based on individual student interests and aptitude.  a. Enrichment Days b. Pull Out enrichments c. Field Experiences d. Expert seminars  D1.3		Instructional Team Enrichment Facilitator	\$3,000	General Funds Local Funds	
Enrichment: Develop and implement student acceleration for direct instruction for identified individual students based on strengths in subject, or concept regardless of grade or program.		Enrichment Facilitator	See Above	Local Funds Local Funds	
Develop Schoolwide Values and Social and Emotional Support for students.  a. Develop school wide student and staff value b. Develop grade level plan to teach, model and reinforce	May 2015	Guidance Committee CTC Teacher Team	\$2000	Local Funds	Workshop agendas Attendance Logs

values and behavioral expectations. c. Review Progress					
Develop and implement parent workshops, orientations and partnerships to address CTC & Sterling Elementary student developmental and academic needs.	2013-2018		\$200		
Create a new family and student assimilation program.	2013-2015	Guidance Committee	\$500	Local Funds	Attendance Log
Re-develop parent-teacher conferencing sessions based on enrichment program, assessment continuums and developed student portfolios.	2013-2016	Classroom Teachers	\$750	Local Funds	Conference Logs Sample Assessments
Develop student support: each kid has at least one person who knows and advocates for him or her.	2013-2018	Guidance Committee	\$1500	Local Funds	Student/Advocate Log Survey Data

# Professional Development Calendar 2015-2016

STRATEGIES	<u>Timeline</u> (Month)	<u>Person</u> Responsible	Estimated Cost	Funding Sources  (Act 135, academic assistance, categorical funding, etc.)	EVALUATION Indicators of Implementation
Technology Curriculum and Instruct	ional Integrati	on Objective: use t	echnology to enha	nce learning and academic a	chievement.
Technology: Learn how to use Google Classroom and Google Platform apps and programs	August 15 October 16 6 Hours	Nichols, Foulkes	\$0	NA	Teacher Websites Google Account list
Objective: Implement a less structi grade/age placement.	ured approach	to the organizatio	n of the instructio	nal program moving away f	rom constraints of
Assessment: Continue to refine usage of the Developmental Continua in Reading, Writing, Mathematics and Critical Thinking  Balanced Literacy: (5K-5 <sup>th</sup> Sterling)	September 7 Hours	Johnstone	\$3500	Local Funds	Conference Log Parent Surveys Work Samples  Lesson Observations Book Lists
1.2.3 Implement a comprehensive, balanced literacy model in grades PreK-5 Implement the Fountas and Pinnell framework in all elementary school.	November February 7 Hours	Foulkes	\$1600	Local Funds	Student Achievement Goal Data
Curriculum  Rewrite and Modify Current Instructional Program & Assessments using Atlas Updates	2014-2015 Monthly: Vertical Teams 7 Hours	Foulkes-Elem		Professional Development	Course Descriptions Course Syllabus Alignment documents
Middle School 2012- Curriculum Integration and Field Studies		Pough-Middle		Funds General Funds	Assessment Notebook

Mathematics- Sterling (4K-2 <sup>nd</sup> ) (3 <sup>rd</sup> -5 <sup>th</sup> )	4 Hours	Foulkes, Holmes			
English Language Arts : CTC	4 Hours	Johnstone	4,000		
Enrichment Training to develop and implement school-wide additional enrichment, extensions based on individual student interests and aptitude.	Bi-Annual September December 4 Hours	Reynolds	\$5000	General Fund Local Funds	Student Interest Inventory Student Surveys Staff Surveys
Objective: Improve understanding	of our student	s, parents and peer	s to better utilize s	strengths and address weak	nesses.
Climate:  Develop Family Support System to increase student achievement (4K-2 <sup>nd</sup> )	Monthly: Vertical Teams 7 Hours	Holman	\$0	NA	Discipline Log Student Concern Logs Student Grades Survey Data
Develop and Implement House System of Social & Emotional Support of Sterling Program 3 <sup>rd</sup> - 5 <sup>th</sup> Grade Students	Monthly: Vertical Teams 7 Hours	Noble	\$O	NA	

## **School Climate Needs Assessment**

Since the inception of Sterling School several surveys conducted by the School Improvement Council, the District and the state have been completed including the Advanced Ed Surveys provided to students, teachers and parents. The survey assessed many areas, including satisfaction level, and perceived weaknesses. The survey is currently being evaluated and reviewed by teachers as well as the School Improvement Council to be used in developing key action steps. Teachers also give surveys to all of their students. These surveys have been collected and analyzed by the teacher as part of their annual review and five-year formal evaluation.

Advanced Ed Teacher Survey & Principal's Survey State Report Card Survey Data

The Advanced Ed survey was completed by all staff members. Thi ssuervey is only given every five years. After the results were compiled, teachers and the School Improvement Council reviewed the results. The Teacher Survey was extremely positive with each category showing 90% Agree and Strongly Agree in most categories. The Strongest areas were Purpose and Direction and Continuous Improvement. There were very few disagrees on any category. Weaknesses were relative.

The Prinicpal's Survey is give to teachers annually as part if the principal evaluation system. The areas of questions in the Prinicpal's Survey centered on the performance of the principal. Among the strongest areas noted were communication of the mission and vision of the school, a safe school environment, use of data to make decisions, and general instructional support.

The State Report Card Survey is administered to all teachers and studnets and parents of 5<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> graders. The data is sent back to the school sand portions are used on the school report card.

# **Teacher Surveys**

### **ADVANCED ED**

**TEACHER: Survey Response Counts** 

Section: Purpose and Direction

Ouestion / Institution			Stroi Agre		Agre	e	Neu	tral	Т	otal
Question/Institution			#	%	#	%	#	<b>%</b>	#	%
1. Our school's	Sterling	Average Score	36	69.23%	15	28.85%	1	1.92%	52	100%
purpose statement is clearly focused on	School	4.67	36	69.23%	15	28.85%	1	1.92%	52	100%
student success.		Total	36	69.23%	15	28.85%	1	1.92%	52	100%
2. Our school's purpose statement is	Sterling	Average Score	30	57.69%	19	36.54%	3	5.77%	52	100%
formally reviewed and	School	4.52	30	57.69%	19	36.54%	3	5.77%	52	100%
revised with involvement from stakeholders.		Total	30	57.69%	19	36.54%	3	5.77%	52	100%
3. Our school's purpose statement is	Sterling	Average Score	35	67.31%	16	30.77%	1	1.92%	52	100%
based on shared values	School	4.65	35	67.31%	16	30.77%	1	1.92%	52	100%
and beliefs that guide decision-making.		Total	35	67.31%	16	30.77%	1	1.92%	52	100%
4. Our school's purpose statement is	Sterling	Average Score	27	51.92%	24	46.15%	1	1.92%	52	100%
supported by the	School	4.5	27	51.92%	24	46.15%	1	1.92%	52	100%
policies and practices adopted by the school board or governing body.		Total	27	51.92%	24	46.15%	1	1.92%	52	100%
5. Our school has a continuous	Sterling	Average Score	38	73.08%	13	25%	1	1.92%	52	100%
improvement process	School	4.71	38	73.08%	13	25%	1	1.92%	52	100%
based on data, goals, actions, and measures for growth.		Total	38	73.08%	13	25%	1	1.92%	52	100%
	Total		166	63.85%	87	33.46%	7	2.69%	260	100%

Section: Governance and Leadership

Question / Institution			Stroi Agre	0.	Agre	e	Neutral		Disag	gree
Question / Institution			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
6. Our school's governing body or	Sterling	Average Score	35	67.31%	14	26.92%	2	3.85%	1	1.92%
school board complies	School	4.6	35	67.31%	14	26.92%	2	3.85%	1	1.92%
with all policies, procedures, laws, and regulations.	Total		35	67.31%	14	26.92%	2	3.85%	1	1.92%
7. Our school's governing body or	Sterling	Average Score	23	44.23%	24	46.15%	2	3.85%	3	5.77%
school board maintains	School	4.29	23	44.23%	24	46.15%	2	3.85%	3	5.77%
a distinction between its roles and responsibilities and those of school leadership.		Total	23	44.23%	24	46.15%	2	3.85%	3	5.77%
8. Our school's leaders	Sterling	Average Score	32	61.54%	16	30.77%	2	3.85%	2	3.85%
support an innovative and collaborative	4.5	32	61.54%	16	30.77%	2	3.85%	2	3.85%	
culture.		Total	32	61.54%	16	30.77%	2	3.85%	2	3.85%

	1	1	1	i i	1	Ī		Ī	1	1
9. Our school's leaders expect staff members	Sterling	Average Score	32	61.54%	19	36.54%	1	1.92%	0	0%
to hold all students to	School	4.6	32	61.54%	19	36.54%	1	1.92%	0	0%
high academic standards.		Total	32	61.54%	19	36.54%	1	1.92%	0	0%
10. Our school's leaders hold	Sterling	Average Score	27	51.92%	20	38.46%	2	3.85%	3	5.77%
themselves	School	4.37	27	51.92%	20	38.46%	2	3.85%	3	5.77%
accountable for student learning.		Total	27	51.92%	20	38.46%	2	3.85%	3	5.77%
11. Our school's	Sterling	Average Score	28	53.85%	20	38.46%	1	1.92%	2	3.85%
leaders hold all staff members accountable	School	4.37	28	53.85%	20	38.46%	1	1.92%	2	3.85%
for student learning.		Total	28	53.85%	20	38.46%	1	1.92%	2	3.85%
12. Our school's leaders regularly	Sterling	Average Score	28	53.85%	20	38.46%	1	1.92%	2	3.85%
evaluate staff members	School	4.37	28	53.85%	20	38.46%	1	1.92%	2	3.85%
on criteria designed to improve teaching and learning.		Total	28	53.85%	20	38.46%	1	1.92%	2	3.85%
13. Our school's leaders ensure all staff	Sterling	Average Score	22	42.31%	27	51.92%	3	5.77%	0	0%
members use	School	4.37	22	42.31%	27	51.92%	3	5.77%	0	0%
supervisory feedback to improve student learning.		Total	22	42.31%	27	51.92%	3	5.77%	0	0%
14. Our school's leaders engage	Sterling	Average Score	24	46.15%	26	50%	2	3.85%	0	0%
effectively with all	School	4.42	24	46.15%	26	50%	2	3.85%	0	0%
stakeholders about the school's purpose and direction.		Total	24	46.15%	26	50%	2	3.85%	0	0%
15. Our school's leaders provide	Sterling	Average Score	27	51.92%	24	46.15%	1	1.92%	0	0%
opportunities for	School	4.5	27	51.92%	24	46.15%	1	1.92%	0	0%
stakeholders to be involved in the school.	Total		27	51.92%	24	46.15%	1	1.92%	0	0%
	Total		278	53.46%	210	40.38%	17	3.27%	13	2.5%

Section: Teaching and Assessing for Learning

Ouestion / Institution			Stro	U .	Agre	e	Neutral		Disagree	
Question / Institution			#	%	#	%	#	<b>%</b>	#	%
16. All teachers in our school monitor and	Sterling	Average Score	20	38.46%	27	51.92%	3	5.77%	1	1.92%
adjust curriculum,	School	4.21	20	38.46%	27	51.92%	3	5.77%	1	1.92%
instruction, and assessment based on data from student assessments and examination of professional practice.		Total	20	38.46%	27	51.92%	3	5.77%	1	1.92%
17. All teachers in our school personalize	Sterling	Average Score	20	38.46%	25	48.08%	5	9.62%	1	1.92%
instructional strategies	School	4.17	20	38.46%	25	48.08%	5	9.62%	1	1.92%
and interventions to address individual learning needs of students.		Total	20	38.46%	25	48.08%	5	9.62%	1	1.92%
18. All teachers in our school regularly use	Sterling	Average Score	23	44.23%	24	46.15%	3	5.77%	1	1.92%
instructional strategies	School	4.27	23	44.23%	24	46.15%	3	5.77%	1	1.92%
that require student collaboration, self- reflection, and		Total	23	44.23%	24	46.15%	3	5.77%	1	1.92%

10								1			
School use a variety of technologies as instructional rechnologies as instructional resources.   2.3   44.13%   2.3   44.015%   2.5   48.08%   2.3   3.85%   0.0   0%											
School		Sterling	Average Score	24	46.15%	25	48.08%	2	3.85%	0	0%
Total   24   46.15%   25   43.08%   2   2   3.85%   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	technologies as		4.35	24	46.15%	25	48.08%	2	3.85%	0	0%
Note   Section			Total	24	46.15%	25	48.08%	2	3.85%	0	0%
School	20. All teachers in our	Storling	Average Score	23	44.23%	22	42.31%	6	11.54%	0	0%
Total   23   44.23%   22   42.31%   6   11.54%   0   0%	_		4.25	23	44.23%	22	42.31%	6	11.54%	0	0%
Total   23   44.23%   22   42.31%   6   11.54%   0   0%	their learning		1120		11128 70		1210170		11.0170	·	070
Sterling School provide from ance.   Sterling School provide from the provided from the provided from the provided for all students with specific and timely feedback about their learning.   School students with specific and timely feedback about their learning.			Total	23	44.23%	22	42.31%	6	11.54%	0	0%
School provides   Sterling School provided from the provided from the provided from the provided for all students with specific and timely feedback about their learning.   22. All teachers in our school use multiple types of assessments to modify instruction and to revise the curriculum.   34. All teachers in our school use consistent common grading and reporting policies across grade levels and courses based on clearly defined criteria.   24. All teachers in our school participate in collaborative learning communities that meet both informally and formally across grade levels and content areas.   25. All teachers in our school have been both informally and formally across grade levels and content areas.   25. All teachers in our school have been both informally and promotes discussion about student learning cg., action research, examination of student fearning cg., action research, examination of student equity for all students in the development of learning chinking, and life skills.   30. Average Score   28. S3.85%   20. S8.86%   38. S5.75%   38. S7.75%   38. S7.											
School   4.21   23   44.23%   20   38.46%   8   15.38%   0   0%		Sterling	Average Score	23	44.23%	20	38.46%	8	15.38%	0	0%
Total   23   44.23%   20   38.46%   8   15.38%   0   0%			4.21	23	44.23%	20	38.46%	8	15.38%	0	0%
Average Score   24   46.15%   22   42.31%   5   9.62%   0   0%	and timely feedback		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					Q		0	
School use multiple types of assessments to modify instruction and to revise the curriculum.   23. All teachers in our school accounts based on clearly defined criteria.   24. 46.15%   22. 42.31%   5. 9.62%   0. 0%								-			
Total   24   46.15%   22   42.31%   5   9.62%   0   0%	school use multiple	0	Average Score	24	46.15%	22	42.31%	5	9.62%	0	0%
Total   Sterling School   A17   19   36.54%   27   51.92%   4   7.69%   1   1.92%		SCHOOL	4.29	24	46.15%	22	42.31%	5	9.62%	0	0%
School use consistent common grading and reporting policies across grade levels and courses based on clearly defined criteria.   19   36.54%   27   51.92%   4   7.69%   1   1.92%   1	to revise the		Total	24	46.15%	22	42.31%	5	9.62%	0	0%
School   S		Sterling	Average Score	19	36.54%	27	51.92%	4	7.69%	1	1.92%
Total   19   36.54%   27   51.92%   4   7.69%   1   1.92%		0	4.17	19	36.54%	27	51.92%	4	7.69%	1	1.92%
Average Score   26   50%   23   44.23%   1   1.92%   1   1.92%	reporting policies across grade levels and courses based on		Total	19	36.54%	27	51.92%	4	7.69%	1	1.92%
Sterling School   Sterling School   A.37   26   50%   23   44.23%   1   1.92%   1   1.92%			, g	26	500/	22	44.220/	1	1.020/		1.020/
Total   26   50%   23   44.23%   1   1.92%   1.92%   1.92%   1.92%   1.92%	school participate in	0	)								
Doth informally and formally across grade levels and content areas.   26	0	School	4.37	26	50%	23	44.23%	1	1.92%	1	1.92%
Average Score   21   40.38%   24   46.15%   3   5.77%   2   3.85%	both informally and formally across grade levels and content		Total	26	50%	23	44.23%	1	1.92%	1	1.92%
School have been trained to implement a formal process that promotes discussion about student learning (e.g., action research, examination of student work, reflection, study teams, and peer coaching).   Co. In our school, challenging curriculum and learning experiences provide equity for all students in the development of learning, thinking, and life skills.   Co. In our school, related learning support services are provided for all students based on their needs.   Co. In our school, related based on their ne			Avorago Scoro	21	40.38%	24	46 15%	3	5 77%	2	3 85%
Total   21   40.38%   24   46.15%   3   5.77%   2   3.85%			)								- 100 / 1
Total   21   40.38%   24   46.15%   3   5.77%   2   3.85%		School	4.12	21	40.38%	24	46.15%	3	5.77%	2	3.85%
Challenging curriculum and learning experiences provide equity for all students in the development of learning, thinking, and life skills.   27. In our school, related learning support services are provided for all students based on their needs.   Total   28   53.85%   19   36.54%   3   5.77%   1   1.92%	promotes discussion about student learning (e.g., action research, examination of student work, reflection, study teams, and peer coaching).		Total	21	40.38%	24	46.15%	3	5.77%	2	3.85%
School   4.4   28   53.85%   20   38.46%   3   5.77%   0   0%		Sterling	Average Score	28	53.85%	20	38.46%	3	5.77%	0	0%
Total   28   53.85%   20   38.46%   3   5.77%   0   0%	curriculum and		4.4	28	53.85%	20	38.46%	3	5.77%	0	0%
related learning support services are provided for all students based on their needs.    Sterling   Sterling   School   28   53.85%   19   36.54%   3   5.77%   1   1.92%	provide equity for all students in the development of learning, thinking, and		Total	28	53.85%	20	38.46%	3	5.77%	0	0%
School Sc		Sterling	Average Score	28	53.85%	19	36.54%	3	5.77%	1	1.92%
students based on their needs.         Total         28         53.85%         19         36.54%         3         5.77%         1         1.92%	support services are	0	4.37	28	53.85%	19	36.54%	3	5.77%	1	1.92%
Average Score         23         44.23%         21         40.38%         6         11.54%         1         1.92%	students based on their		Total	28	53.85%	19	36.54%	3	5.77%	1	1.92%
			Average Score	23	44.23%	21	40.38%	6	11.54%	1	1.92%

28. In our school, a formal structure exists	Sterling School	4.21	23	44.23%	21	40.38%	6	11.54%	1	1.92%
so that each student is well known by at least one adult advocate in the school who supports that student's educational experience.		Total	23	44.23%	21	40.38%	6	11.54%	1	1.92%
29. In our school, all	Sterling	Average Score	22	42.31%	25	48.08%	4	7.69%	0	0%
staff members use student data to address	School	4.27	22	42.31%	25	48.08%	4	7.69%	0	0%
the unique learning needs of all students.		Total	22	42.31%	25	48.08%	4	7.69%	0	0%
30. In our school, staff	Sterling	Average Score	23	44.23%	22	42.31%	5	9.62%	1	1.92%
members provide peer	School	4.23	23	44.23%	22	42.31%	5	9.62%	1	1.92%
coaching to teachers.		Total	23	44.23%	22	42.31%	5	9.62%	1	1.92%
31. In our school, a	Sterling	Average Score	20	38.46%	25	48.08%	4	7.69%	3	5.77%
formal process is in place to support new	School	4.19	20	38.46%	25	48.08%	4	7.69%	3	5.77%
staff members in their professional practice.		Total	20	38.46%	25	48.08%	4	7.69%	3	5.77%
32. In our school, all staff members participate in	Sterling School	Average Score	27	51.92%	19	36.54%	6	11.54%	0	0%
continuous professional learning		4.4	27	51.92%	19	36.54%	6	11.54%	0	0%
based on identified needs of the school.		Total	27	51.92%	19	36.54%	6	11.54%	0	0%
33. In our school, a professional learning	Sterling	Average Score	23	44.23%	22	42.31%	7	13.46%	0	0%
program is designed to	School	4.31	23	44.23%	22	42.31%	7	13.46%	0	0%
build capacity among all professional and support staff members.		Total	23	44.23%	22	42.31%	7	13.46%	0	0%
34. In our school, all	Sterling	Average Score	23	44.23%	22	42.31%	5	9.62%	1	1.92%
school personnel regularly engage	School	4.23	23	44.23%	22	42.31%	5	9.62%	1	1.92%
families in their children's learning progress.		Total	23	44.23%	22	42.31%	5	9.62%	1	1.92%
35. In our school, all	Sterling	Average Score	22	42.31%	25	48.08%	3	5.77%	0	0%
stakeholders are informed of policies,	School	4.21	22	42.31%	25	48.08%	3	5.77%	0	0%
processes, and procedures related to grading and reporting.		Total	22	42.31%	25	48.08%	3	5.77%	0	0%
stading and reporting.	Total		462	44.42%	459	44.13%	86	8.27%	14	1.35%

Section: Resources and Support Systems

	Strong Agree	ly	Agree		Neutral		Disagree	
Question / Institution	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%

provides qualified staff members to support student learning.  37. Our school	Sterling	Average Score	35	68.63%	13	25.49%	3	5.88%	0	0%
	School	4.63	35	68.63%	13	25.49%	3	5.88%	0	0%
		Total	35	68.63%	13	25.49%	3	5.88%	0	0%
	Sterling	Average Score	25	49.02%	23	45.1%	3	5.88%	0	0%
provides instructional time and resources to	School	4.43	25	49.02%	23	45.1%	3	5.88%	0	0%

support our school's goals and priorities.		Total	25	49.02%	23	45.1%	3	5.88%	0	0%
38. Our school	Sterling	Average Score	24	47.06%	24	47.06%	2	3.92%	0	0%
provides sufficient material resources to	School	4.35	24	47.06%	24	47.06%	2	3.92%	0	0%
meet student needs.		Total	24	47.06%	24	47.06%	2	3.92%	0	0%
39. Our school	Sterling	Average Score	12	23.53%	30	58.82%	5	9.8%	2	3.92%
provides protected	School	3.92	12	23.53%	30	58.82%	5	9.8%	2	3.92%
instructional time.		Total	12	23.53%	30	58.82%	5	9.8%	2	3.92%
40. Our school provides a variety of	Sterling	Average Score	21	41.18%	26	50.98%	2	3.92%	1	1.96%
information resources	School	4.25	21	41.18%	26	50.98%	2	3.92%	1	1.96%
to support student learning.		Total	21	41.18%	26	50.98%	2	3.92%	1	1.96%
41. Our school provides a plan for the	Sterling	Average Score	26	50.98%	21	41.18%	2	3.92%	1	1.96%
acquisition and	School	4.35	26	50.98%	21	41.18%	2	3.92%	1	1.96%
support of technology to support student learning.		Total	26	50.98%	21	41.18%	2	3.92%	1	1.96%
42. Our school provides a plan for the	Sterling	Average Score	23	45.1%	25	49.02%	3	5.88%	0	0%
acquisition and	School	4.39	23	45.1%	25	49.02%	3	5.88%	0	0%
support of technology to support the school's operational needs.		Total	23	45.1%	25	49.02%	3	5.88%	0	0%
43. Our school provides high quality	Sterling	Average Score	24	47.06%	22	43.14%	4	7.84%	1	1.96%
student support	School	4.35	24	47.06%	22	43.14%	4	7.84%	1	1.96%
services (e.g., counseling, referrals, educational, and career planning).		Total	24	47.06%	22	43.14%	4	7.84%	1	1.96%
44. Our school provides opportunities	Sterling	Average Score	28	54.9%	18	35.29%	3	5.88%	2	3.92%
for students to	School	4.41	28	54.9%	18	35.29%	3	5.88%	2	3.92%
participate in activities that interest them.		Total	28	54.9%	18	35.29%	3	5.88%	2	3.92%
45. Our school	Sterling	Average Score	28	54.9%	22	43.14%	1	1.96%	0	0%
maintains facilities that support student	School	4.53	28	54.9%	22	43.14%	1	1.96%	0	0%
learning.		Total	28	54.9%	22	43.14%	1	1.96%	0	0%
46. Our school	Sterling	Average Score	28	54.9%	21	41.18%	1	1.96%	1	1.96%
maintains facilities that contribute to a	School	4.49	28	54.9%	21	41.18%	1	1.96%	1	1.96%
safe environment.		Total	28	54.9%	21	41.18%	1	1.96%	1	1.96%
	Total		274	48.84%	245	43.67%	29	5.17%	8	1.43%

Section: Using Results for Continuous Improvement

Ouestion / Institution			Stro		Agree		Neutral		Disagree	
Question / Institution			#	<b>%</b>	#	%	#	<b>%</b>	#	%
47. Our school uses	Sterling	Average Score	22	43.14%	24	47.06%	3	5.88%	1	1.96%
measures to determine	multiple assessment School	4.25	22	43.14%	24	47.06%	3	5.88%	1	1.96%
student learning and school performance.		Total	22	43.14%	24	47.06%	3	5.88%	1	1.96%
48. Our school employs consistent assessment	Average Score	20	39.22%	26	50.98%	4	7.84%	0	0%	
measures across	School	4.24	20	39.22%	26	50.98%	4	7.84%	0	0%

classrooms and courses.		Total	20	39.22%	26	50.98%	4	7.84%	0	0%
49. Our school has a	Sterling	Average Score	21	41.18%	23	45.1%	5	9.8%	1	1.96%
systematic process for collecting, analyzing,	School	4.2	21	41.18%	23	45.1%	5	9.8%	1	1.96%
and using data.		Total	21	41.18%	23	45.1%	5	9.8%	1	1.96%
50. Our school ensures all staff members are	Sterling	Average Score	11	21.57%	26	50.98%	7	13.73%	5	9.8%
trained in the	School	3.73	11	21.57%	26	50.98%	7	13.73%	5	9.8%
evaluation, interpretation, and use of data.		Total	11	21.57%	26	50.98%	7	13.73%	5	9.8%
11. Our school uses lata to monitor tudent readiness and	Sterling	Average Score	21	41.18%	25	49.02%	4	7.84%	0	0%
	School	4.25	21	41.18%	25	49.02%	4	7.84%	0	0%
success at the next level.		Total	21	41.18%	25	49.02%	4	7.84%	0	0%
52. Our school leaders	Sterling	Average Score	29	56.86%	19	37.25%	2	3.92%	0	0%
monitor data related to	School	4.45	29	56.86%	19	37.25%	2	3.92%	0	0%
student achievement.		Total	29	56.86%	19	37.25%	2	3.92%	0	0%
	Sterling	Average Score	28	54.9%	20	39.22%	2	3.92%	0	0%
53. Our school leaders monitor data related to	School	4.43	28	54.9%	20	39.22%	2	3.92%	0	0%
school continuous improvement goals.										
improvement goais.		Total	28	54.9%	20	39.22%	2	3.92%	0	0%

# **Principals Survey from Teachers:**

Principals Survey 2005-2015 (% Strongly Agree)	N	Iode	rn St	terliı	ng Era	l % Stro	ongly Agr	ree
Leadership -	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016
Maintians a high level of technology proficiency	87%	88%	81%	83%	89%	86%	83%	87%
Promotes the use of research based instructional programs	74%	79%	81%	78%	87%	76%	83%	79%
romotes continuous student achievement & school improvemen	87%	86%	81%	83%	87%	74%	75%	79%
Evaluates staff according to state & local policies/procedures	71%	73%	74%	76%	80%	71%	85%	76%
Uses a variety of data sources to make informed deccisions	79%	79%	77%	76%	80%	71%	83%	71%
Communicates Clear Vision consistent with GCS	71%	64%	77%	80%	76%	71%	71%	71%
Develops Improvement Plan collaboratively	63%	60%	74%	70%	73%	71%	85%	76%
Visits classrooms regularly	68%	88%	77%	76%	69%	69%	77%	76%
Practices ethical standards appropriate for the profession	74%	69%	71%	74%	76%	67%	81%	84%
Models high expectations	74%	69%	77%	74%	73%	67%	65%	68%
Supports professional development of staff	68%	69%	74%	63%	60%	62%	62%	71%
Coordinates the daily operation of school	76%	74%	68%	70%	78%	60%	65%	68%
Provides leadership for the school's curriculum	63%	62%	68%	70%	69%	60%	65%	66%
Demonstrates a professional demeanor	66%	69%	77%	67%	62%	57%	63%	68%
Fosters safe & postive environment for students & staff	74%	71%	84%	70%	69%	55%	56%	66%
Promotes effective communication	61%	62%	71%	61%	62%	52%	58%	55%
Models mutual respect	63%	57%	55%	61%	58%	48%	56%	58%
Communicates clear expectations	53%	43%	48%	50%	44%	48%	40%	47%
Ensures that instructional time is protected	61%	57%	61%	63%	56%	31%	22%	32%

## Parent Survey Data

### **Advanced Ed**

**PARENT: Survey Response Counts** 

Section: Purpose and Direction

			Strong	ly Agree	Agree	,	Neutr	al	Disagr	·ee	Stron	- ·	Not Appli	cable	To	otal
Question / Institution			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	Disag	ree	#	%	#	%
			]								#	%				
1. Our school's	Sterling	Average Score	116	61.05%	59	31.05%	11	5.79%	1	0.53%	1	0.53%	2	1.05%	190	100%
purpose statement is clearly focused on	School	4.48	116	61.05%	59	31.05%	11	5.79%	1	0.53%	1	0.53%	2	1.05%	190	100%
student success.		Total	116	61.05%	59	31.05%	11	5.79%	1	0.53%	1	0.53%	2	1.05%	190	100%
2. Our school's purpose statement	Sterling	Average Score	71	37.37%	62	32.63%	42	22.11%	6	3.16%	3	1.58%	6	3.16%	190	100%
is formally reviewed	School	3.92	71	37.37%	62	32.63%	42	22.11%	6	3.16%	3	1.58%	6	3.16%	190	100%
and revised with involvement from parents.		Total	71	37.37%	62	32.63%	42	22.11%	6	3.16%	3	1.58%	6	3.16%	190	100%
3. Our school has established goals	Sterling	Average Score	98	51.58%	69	36.32%	19	10%	2	1.05%	2	1.05%	0	0%	190	100%
and a plan for	School	4.36	98	51.58%	69	36.32%	19	10%	2	1.05%	2	1.05%	0	0%	190	100%
improving student learning.		Total	98	51.58%	69	36.32%	19	10%	2	1.05%	2	1.05%	0	0%	190	100%
	Total		285	50%	190	33.33%	72	12.63%	9	1.58%	6	1.05%	8	1.4%	570	100%

Section: Governance and Leadership

			Strong	gly Agree	Agree	;	Neutr	al	Disagr	ree	Strong		Not Appli	cable	To	otal
Question / Institution			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	Disag	ree	#	%	#	%
											#	<b>%</b>				
4. Our school's	Stering		81	44.26%	75	40.98%	24	13.11%	0	0%	2	1.09%	1	0.55%	183	100%
governing body operates	School	4.26	81	44.26%	75	40.98%	24	13.11%	0	0%	2	1.09%	1	0.55%	183	100%
responsibly and		Total	81	44.26%	75	40.98%	24	13.11%	0	0%	2	1.09%	1	0.55%	183	100%

functions effectively.																
5. Our school's governing body	Sterling	Average Score	62	33.88%	73	39.89%	38	20.77%	1	0.55%	2	1.09%	7	3.83%	183	100%
does not interfere	School	3.93	62	33.88%	73	39.89%	38	20.77%	1	0.55%	2	1.09%	7	3.83%	183	100%
with the operation or leadership of our school.		Total	62	33.88%	73	39.89%	38	20.77%	1	0.55%	2	1.09%	7	3.83%	183	100%
6. Our school has	Sterling	Average Score	119	65.03%	46	25.14%	13	7.1%	3	1.64%	2	1.09%	0	0%	183	100%
high expectations for students in all	School	4.51	119	65.03%	46	25.14%	13	7.1%	3	1.64%	2	1.09%	0	0%	183	100%
classes.		Total	119	65.03%	46	25.14%	13	7.1%	3	1.64%	2	1.09%	0	0%	183	100%
7. Our school shares responsibility for	Sterling	Average Score	81	44.26%	76	41.53%	19	10.38%	4	2.19%	1	0.55%	2	1.09%	183	100%
student learning	School	4.23	81	44.26%	76	41.53%	19	10.38%	4	2.19%	1	0.55%	2	1.09%	183	100%
student learning with its stakeholders.		Total	81	44.26%	76	41.53%	19	10.38%	4	2.19%	1	0.55%	2	1.09%	183	100%
8. Our school	Sterling	Average Score	93	50.82%	58	31.69%	23	12.57%	8	4.37%	1	0.55%	0	0%	183	100%
communicates effectively about the	School	4.28	93	50.82%	58	31.69%	23	12.57%	8	4.37%	1	0.55%	0	0%	183	100%
school's goals and activities.		Total	93	50.82%	58	31.69%	23	12.57%	8	4.37%	1	0.55%	0	0%	183	100%
9. Our school provides	Sterling	Average Score	97	53.01%	67	36.61%	15	8.2%	1	0.55%	1	0.55%	2	1.09%	183	100%
opportunities for	School	4.38	97	53.01%	67	36.61%	15	8.2%	1	0.55%	1	0.55%	2	1.09%	183	100%
stakeholders to be involved in the school.		Total	97	53.01%	67	36.61%	15	8.2%	1	0.55%	1	0.55%	2	1.09%	183	100%
	Total		533	48.54%	395	35.97%	132	12.02%	17	1.55%	9	0.82%	12	1.09%	1,098	100%

Section: Teaching and Assessing for Learning

			Strong	ly Agree	Agree	:	Neutra	al	Disagr	ee	Stron		Not Appli	cable	To	otal
Question / Institution			#	%	#	<b>%</b>	#	%	#	%	Disag	ree	#	%	#	%
											#	%				
10. All of my child's	Sterling	Average Score	90	50.28%	66	36.87%	14	7.82%	8	4.47%	1	0.56%	0	0%	179	100%
teachers provide an equitable	School	4.32	90	50.28%	66	36.87%	14	7.82%	8	4.47%	1	0.56%	0	0%	179	100%
curriculum that meets his/her learning needs.		Total	90	50.28%	66	36.87%	14	7.82%	8	4.47%	1	0.56%	0	0%	179	100%
11. All of my child's	Sterling	Average Score	103	57.54%	60	33.52%	10	5.59%	6	3.35%	0	0%	0	0%	179	100%
teachers give work	School	4.45	103	57.54%	60	33.52%	10	5.59%	6	3.35%	0	0%	0	0%	179	100%

that challenges my		Total	103	57.54%	60	33.52%	10	5.59%	6	3.35%	0	0%	0	0%	179	100%
12. All of my child's	Sterling	Average Score	100	55.87%	66	36.87%	13	7.26%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	179	100%
teachers use a variety of teaching	School	4.49	100	55.87%	66	36.87%	13	7.26%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	179	100%
strategies and learning activities.		Total	100	55.87%	66	36.87%	13	7.26%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	179	100%
13. All of my child's	Sterling	Average Score	66	36.87%	52	29.05%	43	24.02%	14	7.82%	3	1.68%	1	0.56%	179	100%
teachers meet his/her learning	School	3.9	66	36.87%	52	29.05%	43	24.02%	14	7.82%	3	1.68%	1	0.56%	179	100%
needs by individualizing instruction.		Total	66	36.87%	52	29.05%	43	24.02%	14	7.82%	3	1.68%	1	0.56%	179	100%
14. All of my child's	Sterling	Average Score	79	44.13%	58	32.4%	33	18.44%	6	3.35%	1	0.56%	2	1.12%	179	100%
teachers work as a team to help my	School	4.13	79	44.13%	58	32.4%	33	18.44%	6	3.35%	1	0.56%	2	1.12%	179	100%
child learn.		Total	79	44.13%	58	32.4%	33	18.44%	6	3.35%	1	0.56%	2	1.12%	179	100%
15. All of my child's	Sterling	Average Score	74	41.34%	60	33.52%	27	15.08%	15	8.38%	3	1.68%	0	0%	179	100%
teachers help me to understand my	School	4.04	74	41.34%	60	33.52%	27	15.08%	15	8.38%	3	1.68%	0	0%	179	100%
child's progress.		Total	74	41.34%	60	33.52%	27	15.08%	15	8.38%	3	1.68%	0	0%	179	100%
16. All of my child's	Sterling	Average Score	67	37.43%	64	35.75%	23	12.85%	21	11.73%	4	2.23%	0	0%	179	100%
teachers keep me informed regularly	School	3.94	67	37.43%	64	35.75%	23	12.85%	21	11.73%	4	2.23%	0	0%	179	100%
of how my child is being graded.		Total	67	37.43%	64	35.75%	23	12.85%	21	11.73%	4	2.23%	0	0%	179	100%
17. All of my child's teachers report on	Sterling	Average Score	88	49.16%	63	35.2%	16	8.94%	8	4.47%	1	0.56%	3	1.68%	179	100%
my child's progress	School	4.23	88	49.16%	63	35.2%	16	8.94%	8	4.47%	1	0.56%	3	1.68%	179	100%
in easy to understand language.		Total	88	49.16%	63	35.2%	16	8.94%	8	4.47%	1	0.56%	3	1.68%	179	100%
18. My child sees a relationship	Sterling	Average Score	64	35.75%	73	40.78%	33	18.44%	8	4.47%	1	0.56%	0	0%	179	100%
between what is	School	4.07	64	35.75%	73	40.78%	33	18.44%	8	4.47%	1	0.56%	0	0%	179	100%
being taught and his/her everyday life.		Total	64	35.75%	73	40.78%	33	18.44%	8	4.47%	1	0.56%	0	0%	179	100%
19. My child knows	Sterling	Average Score	82	45.81%	80	44.69%	12	6.7%	5	2.79%	0	0%	0	0%	179	100%
the expectations for learning in all	School	4.34	82	45.81%	80	44.69%	12	6.7%	5	2.79%	0	0%	0	0%	179	100%
classes.		Total	82	45.81%	80	44.69%	12	6.7%	5	2.79%	0	0%	0	0%	179	100%
20. My child has at	Sterling	Average Score	82	45.81%	52	29.05%	30	16.76%	3	1.68%	2	1.12%	10	5.59%	179	100%
least one adult	School	4.0	82	45.81%	52	29.05%	30	16.76%	3	1.68%	2	1.12%	10	5.59%	179	100%

advocate in the school.		Total	82	45.81%	52	29.05%	30	16.76%	3	1.68%	2	1.12%	10	5.59%	179	100%
21. My child is given multiple	Sterling	Average Score	74	41.34%	78	43.58%	23	12.85%	3	1.68%	1	0.56%	0	0%	179	100%
assessments to	School	4.23	74	41.34%	78	43.58%	23	12.85%	3	1.68%	1	0.56%	0	0%	179	100%
measure his/her understanding of what was taught.		Total	74	41.34%	78	43.58%	23	12.85%	3	1.68%	1	0.56%	0	0%	179	100%
22. My child has up-	Sterling	Average Score	89	49.72%	62	34.64%	18	10.06%	8	4.47%	2	1.12%	0	0%	179	100%
to-date computers and other	School	4.27	89	49.72%	62	34.64%	18	10.06%	8	4.47%	2	1.12%	0	0%	179	100%
technology to learn.		Total	89	49.72%	62	34.64%	18	10.06%	8	4.47%	2	1.12%	0	0%	179	100%
23. My child has access to support	Sterling	Average Score	69	38.55%	70	39.11%	23	12.85%	1	0.56%	2	1.12%	14	7.82%	179	100%
services based on	School	3.9	69	38.55%	70	39.11%	23	12.85%	1	0.56%	2	1.12%	14	7.82%	179	100%
his/her identified needs.		Total	69	38.55%	70	39.11%	23	12.85%	1	0.56%	2	1.12%	14	7.82%	179	100%
	Total		1,127	44.97%	904	36.07%	318	12.69%	106	4.23%	21	0.84%	30	1.2%	2,506	100%

Section: Resources and Support Systems

			Strong	gly Agree	Agree	)	Neutra	al	Disagi	ee	Stron		Not Appli	cable	To	otal
Question / Institution			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	Disag	ree	#	%	#	%
											#	%				
24. Our school provides qualified	Sterling	Average Score	101	57.71%	64	36.57%	7	4%	1	0.57%	2	1.14%	0	0%	175	100%
staff members to	School	4.49	101	57.71%	64	36.57%	7	4%	1	0.57%	2	1.14%	0	0%	175	100%
support student learning.		Total	101	57.71%	64	36.57%	7	4%	1	0.57%	2	1.14%	0	0%	175	100%
25. Our school	Sterling	Average Score	97	55.43%	63	36%	15	8.57%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	175	100%
provides an adequate supply of	School	4.47	97	55.43%	63	36%	15	8.57%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	175	100%
learning resources that are current and in good condition.		Total	97	55.43%	63	36%	15	8.57%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	175	100%
26. Our school	Sterling	Average Score	95	54.29%	67	38.29%	10	5.71%	1	0.57%	2	1.14%	0	0%	175	100%
provides a safe learning	School	4.44	95	54.29%	67	38.29%	10	5.71%	1	0.57%	2	1.14%	0	0%	175	100%
environment.		Total	95	54.29%	67	38.29%	10	5.71%	1	0.57%	2	1.14%	0	0%	175	100%
27. Our school	Sterling	Average Score	96	54.86%	66	37.71%	11	6.29%	1	0.57%	1	0.57%	0	0%	175	100%
provides students with access to a	School	4.46	96	54.86%	66	37.71%	11	6.29%	1	0.57%	1	0.57%	0	0%	175	100%

variety of information resources to support their learning.		Total	96	54.86%	66	37.71%	11	6.29%	1	0.57%	1	0.57%	0	0%	175	100%
28. Our school provides excellent	Sterling	Average Score	72	41.14%	60	34.29%	33	18.86%	3	1.71%	3	1.71%	4	2.29%	175	100%
support services	School	4.05	72	41.14%	60	34.29%	33	18.86%	3	1.71%	3	1.71%	4	2.29%	175	100%
(e.g., counseling, and/or career planning).		Total	72	41.14%	60	34.29%	33	18.86%	3	1.71%	3	1.71%	4	2.29%	175	100%
29. Our school provides	Sterling	Average Score	80	45.71%	78	44.57%	8	4.57%	7	4%	2	1.14%	0	0%	175	100%
opportunities for	School	4.3	80	45.71%	78	44.57%	8	4.57%	7	4%	2	1.14%	0	0%	175	100%
students to participate in activities that interest them.		Total	80	45.71%	78	44.57%	8	4.57%	7	4%	2	1.14%	0	0%	175	100%
30. Our school	Sterling	Average Score	96	54.86%	72	41.14%	6	3.43%	0	0%	1	0.57%	0	0%	175	100%
ensures that the facilities support	School	4.5	96	54.86%	72	41.14%	6	3.43%	0	0%	1	0.57%	0	0%	175	100%
student learning.		Total	96	54.86%	72	41.14%	6	3.43%	0	0%	1	0.57%	0	0%	175	100%
31. Our school	Sterling	Average Score	78	44.57%	60	34.29%	30	17.14%	2	1.14%	1	0.57%	4	2.29%	175	100%
ensures the effective use of financial	School	4.14	78	44.57%	60	34.29%	30	17.14%	2	1.14%	1	0.57%	4	2.29%	175	100%
resources.		Total	78	44.57%	60	34.29%	30	17.14%	2	1.14%	1	0.57%	4	2.29%	175	100%
32. Our school ensures that	Sterling	Average Score	84	48%	74	42.29%	15	8.57%	2	1.14%	0	0%	0	0%	175	100%
instructional time is	School	4.37	84	48%	74	42.29%	15	8.57%	2	1.14%	0	0%	0	0%	175	100%
protected and interruptions are minimized.		Total	84	48%	74	42.29%	15	8.57%	2	1.14%	0	0%	0	0%	175	100%
	Total		799	50.73%	604	38.35%	135	8.57%	17	1.08%	12	0.76%	8	0.51%	1,575	100%

Section: Using Results for Continuous Improvement

			Strong	ly Agree	Agree	;	Neutr	al	Disagi	ee	Strong	J •	Not Appli	cable	To	otal
Question / Institution			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	Disag	ree	#	%	#	%
											#	%				
			J													
33. Our school ensures that all staff	Sterling	Average Score	60	34.29%	69	39.43%	38	21.71%	6	3.43%	0	0%	2	1.14%	175	100%
members monitor	School	4.01	60	34.29%	69	39.43%	38	21.71%	6	3.43%	0	0%	2	1.14%	175	100%
and report the achievement of school goals.		Total	60	34.29%	69	39.43%	38	21.71%	6	3.43%	0	0%	2	1.14%	175	100%

34. My child is	Sterling	Average Score	97	55.43%	64	36.57%	13	7.43%	1	0.57%	0	0%	0	0%	175	100%
prepared for success in the next	School	4.47	97	55.43%	64	36.57%	13	7.43%	1	0.57%	0	0%	0	0%	175	100%
school year.		Total	97	55.43%	64	36.57%	13	7.43%	1	0.57%	0	0%	0	0%	175	100%
35. My child has administrators and	Sterling	Average Score	81	46.29%	57	32.57%	24	13.71%	10	5.71%	3	1.71%	0	0%	175	100%
teachers that	School	4.16	81	46.29%	57	32.57%	24	13.71%	10	5.71%	3	1.71%	0	0%	175	100%
monitor and inform me of his/her learning progress.		Total	81	46.29%	57	32.57%	24	13.71%	10	5.71%	3	1.71%	0	0%	175	100%
	Total		238	45.33%	190	36.19%	75	14.29%	17	3.24%	3	0.57%	2	0.38%	525	100%

## **State Report Card Data**

Parent Surveys: Learning Environment

	Baseline 2011-12	Planning Year 2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
School Projected	X	X	98.8	98.8	98.8	98.8	98.8
School Actual	98.8	94.1	90.9				
<b>District Projected</b>	X	X	89.0	89.5	90.0	90.5	91.0
District Actual	88.0*						

Parent Surveys: Safety

Baseline 2011-12	Planning Year 2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
---------------------	-----------------------------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------

School Projected	X	X	94.5	94.9	95.3	95.5	95.5
School Actual	94.1	92.9	92.9				
District Projected	X	X	93.9	94.3	94.7	95.1	95.5
District Actual	93.5*						

## Student Survey Data

## **ADVANCED ED Survey**

## STUDENT: EARLY CHILDHOOD Survey Response Counts

Section: About My School

			Yes		Maybo	e	No		To	otal
Question / Institution			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	Stanting Salarah	Average Score	139	98.58%	2	1.42%	0	0%	141	100%
1. My teacher wants me to learn.	Sterling School	2.99	139	98.58%	2	1.42%	0	0%	141	100%
		Total	139	98.58%	2	1.42%	0	0%	141	100%
	C4-ulius Cabaal	Average Score	127	90.07%	10	7.09%	4	2.84%	141	100%
2. My teacher is fair to me.	Sterling School	2.87	127	90.07%	10	7.09%	4	2.84%	141	100%
		Total	127	90.07%	10	7.09%	4	2.84%	141	100%
	Gt. P. G.L. I	Average Score	139	98.58%	2	1.42%	0	0%	141	1009
3. My teacher wants me to do my best.	Sterling School	2.99	139	98.58%	2	1.42%	0	0%	141	1009
		Total	139	98.58%	2	1.42%	0	0%	141	100%
	Gt. P. G.L. I	Average Score	127	90.07%	14	9.93%	0	0%	141	100%
4. I learn new things in school.	Sterling School	2.9	127	90.07%	14	9.93%	0	0%	141	1009
		Total	127	90.07%	14	9.93%	0	0%	141	1009
	Gt. P. G.L. I	Average Score	119	84.4%	17	12.06%	5	3.55%	141	1009
5. My teacher makes me think.	Sterling School	2.81	119	84.4%	17	12.06%	5	3.55%	141	1009
		Total	119	84.4%	17	12.06%	5	3.55%	141	1009
	g, r, g, l	Average Score	109	77.3%	21	14.89%	11	7.8%	141	1009
6. I know what to do every day in school.	Sterling School	2.7	109	77.3%	21	14.89%	11	7.8%	141	1009
every day in sensor		Total	109	77.3%	21	14.89%	11	7.8%	141	1009
	g. v. g.	Average Score	106	75.18%	25	17.73%	10	7.09%	141	1009
7. My family likes to come to my school.	Sterling School	2.68	106	75.18%	25	17.73%	10	7.09%	141	1009
come to my senson		Total	106	75.18%	25	17.73%	10	7.09%	141	100%
	g, r, g, l	Average Score	104	73.76%	30	21.28%	7	4.96%	141	1009
8. Other teachers know me.	Sterling School	2.69	104	73.76%	30	21.28%	7	4.96%	141	1009
mow me.		Total	104	73.76%	30	21.28%	7	4.96%	141	100%
	Gt. P G l	Average Score	119	84.4%	16	11.35%	6	4.26%	141	100%
9. My family knows how I do in school.	Sterling School	2.8	119	84.4%	16	11.35%	6	4.26%	141	100%
and it do in school		Total	119	84.4%	16	11.35%	6	4.26%	141	100%
	Gt. P G l	Average Score	135	95.74%	6	4.26%	0	0%	141	100%
10. I am safe at school.	Sterling School	2.96	135	95.74%	6	4.26%	0	0%	141	1009
		Total	135	95.74%	6	4.26%	0	0%	141	1009
11. My school has	Gt. P G l	Average Score	141	100%	0	0%	0	0%	141	1009
books for me to read.	Sterling School	3.0	141	100%	0	0%	0	0%	141	1009

	To	tal	141	100%	0	0%	0	0%	141	100%
	Stanling Calcal	Average Score	90	63.83%	24	17.02%	27	19.15%	141	100%
12. I use a computer to learn at school.	Sterling School	2.45	90	63.83%	24	17.02%	27	19.15%	141	100%
	To	tal	90	63.83%	24	17.02%	27	19.15%	141	100%
13. My teacher wants	Sterling School	Average Score	130	92.2%	10	7.09%	1	0.71%	141	100%
me to help all boys	Stering School	2.91	130	92.2%	10	7.09%	1	0.71%	141	100%
and girls.	To	tal	130	92.2%	10	7.09%	1	0.71%	141	100%
14. My teacher tells	Stanling Calcal	Average Score	131	92.91%	7	4.96%	3	2.13%	141	100%
me when I do good	Sterling School	2.91	131	92.91%	7	4.96%	3	2.13%	141	100%
work.	To	tal	131	92.91%	7	4.96%	3	2.13%	141	100%
	Total		1,716	86.93%	184	9.32%	74	3.75%	1,974	100%

## STUDENT SURVEYS: ELEMENTARY Survey Response Counts

Section: Purpose and Direction

			I Agre	e	I'm No	ot Sure	I Don'	t Agree	To	otal
Question / Institution			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1. In my school my	Sterling School	Average Score	154	93.9%	10	6.1%	0	0%	164	100%
principal and teachers want every	Sterning School	2.94	154	93.9%	10	6.1%	0	0%	164	100%
student to learn.	Total		154	93.9%	10	6.1%	0	0%	164	100%
2. In my school I am	Sterling School	Average Score	151	92.07%	12	7.32%	1	0.61%	164	100%
learning new things	Sterning School	2.91	151	92.07%	12	7.32%	1	0.61%	164	100%
that will help me.	at will help me.		151	92.07%	12	7.32%	1	0.61%	164	100%
	Total		305	92.99%	22	6.71%	1	0.3%	328	100%

Section: Governance and Leadership

			I Agre	e	I'm No	t Sure	I Don'	t Agree	To	tal
Question / Institution			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	Stouling School	Average Score	122	75.31%	28	17.28%	12	7.41%	162	100%
3. In my school I am treated fairly.	Sterling School	2.68	122	75.31%	28	17.28%	12	7.41%	162	100%
·	Total		122	75.31%	28	17.28%	12	7.41%	162	100%
4. In my school	Starling Sahaal	Average Score	85	52.47%	60	37.04%	17	10.49%	162	100%
students treat adults	Sterling School	2.42	85	52.47%	60	37.04%	17	10.49%	162	100%
with respect.	To	tal	85	52.47%	60	37.04%	17	10.49%	162	100%
5. In my school my	Starling School	Average Score	159	98.15%	3	1.85%	0	0%	162	100%
teachers want me to	Sterling School	2.98	159	98.15%	3	1.85%	0	0%	162	100%
do my best work.	To	tal	159	98.15%	3	1.85%	0	0%	162	100%
	Total		366	75.31%	91	18.72%	29	5.97%	486	100%

Section: Teaching and Assessing for Learning

			I Agre	I Agree		I'm Not Sure		I Don't Agree		otal
Question / Institution			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
6. My teachers help	6 My tooghous holm		147	90.74%	14	8.64%	1	0.62%	162	100%
me learn things I will	Sterling School	2.9	147	90.74%	14	8.64%	1	0.62%	162	100%
need in the future.	To	tal	147	90.74%	14	8.64%	1	0.62%	162	100%

7 W- 4h	]	Average Score	151	93.21%	10	6.17%	1	0.62%	162	100%
7. My teachers use different activities to	Sterling School	2.93	151	93.21%	10	6.17%	1	0.62%	162	100%
help me learn.	To	tal	151	93.21%	10	6.17%	1	0.62%	162	100%
		Average Score	124	76.54%	37	22.84%	1	0.62%	162	100%
8. My teachers listen to me.	Sterling School	2.76	124	76.54%	37	22.84%	1	0.62%	162	100%
	To	tal	124	76.54%	37	22.84%	1	0.62%	162	100%
9. My teachers tell	Starling School	Average Score	141	87.04%	19	11.73%	2	1.23%	162	100%
me how I should behave and do my	Sterling School	2.86	141	87.04%	19	11.73%	2	1.23%	162	100%
work.	To	tal	141	87.04%	19	11.73%	2	1.23%	162	100%
10. My teachers ask	Sterling School	Average Score	111	68.52%	46	28.4%	5	3.09%	162	100%
my family to come to	Sterning School	2.65	111	68.52%	46	28.4%	5	3.09%	162	100%
school activities.	To	tal	111	68.52%	46	28.4%	5	3.09%	162	100%
11. My teachers	Sterling School	Average Score	126	77.78%	30	18.52%	6	3.7%	162	100%
always help me when	Sterning School	2.74	126	77.78%	30	18.52%	6	3.7%	162	100%
I need them.	To	tal	126	77.78%	30	18.52%	6	3.7%	162	100%
12. My teachers tell	Starling School	Average Score	132	81.48%	25	15.43%	5	3.09%	162	100%
my family how I am	Sterling School	2.78	132	81.48%	25	15.43%	5	3.09%	162	100%
doing in school.	To	tal	132	81.48%	25	15.43%	5	3.09%	162	100%
	Starling School	Average Score	144	88.89%	18	11.11%	0	0%	162	100%
13. My teachers care about students.	are Sterling School	2.89	144	88.89%	18	11.11%	0	0%	162	100%
	To	tal	144	88.89%	18	11.11%	0	0%	162	100%
	Total		1,076	83.02%	199	15.35%	21	1.62%	1,296	100%

Section: Resources and Support Systems

0 4 7 4 4			I Agre	e	I'm No	t Sure	I Don't Agree		To	otal
Question / Institution			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	Sterling School	Average Score	128	79.5%	23	14.29%	10	6.21%	161	100%
14. My school is safe and clean.	Sterning School	2.73	128	79.5%	23	14.29%	10	6.21%	161	100%
	To	tal	128	79.5%	23	14.29%	10	6.21%	161	100%
15. My school has	Starling School	Average Score	156	96.89%	5	3.11%	0	0%	161	100%
many places where I can learn, such as the	Sterling School	2.97	156	96.89%	5	3.11%	0	0%	161	100%
library.	To	tal	156	96.89%	5	3.11%	0	0%	161	100%
16. My school has	Starling School	Average Score	158	98.14%	3	1.86%	0	0%	161	100%
computers to help me	Sterning School	2.98	158	98.14%	3	1.86%	0	0%	161	100%
learn.	To	tal	158	98.14%	3	1.86%	0	0%	161	100%
17. My school wants children in our	Stanling Salasal	Average Score	133	82.61%	25	15.53%	3	1.86%	161	100%
school to help each	Sterning School	2.81	133	82.61%	25	15.53%	3	1.86%	161	100%
other even if we are not friends.	ool has so help me  Sterling School  To ool wants our lp each f we are	tal	133	82.61%	25	15.53%	3	1.86%	161	100%
	Total		575	89.29%	56	8.7%	13	2.02%	644	100%

Section: Using Results for Continuous Improvement

Ouestion / Institution		I Agre	e	I'm No	ot Sure	I Don'	t Agree	To	tal	
Question / Institution			#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
	Sterling School	Average Score	72	44.72%	52	32.3%	37	22.98%	161	100%

18. My principal and teachers ask me what		2.22	72	44.72%	52	32.3%	37	22.98%	161	100%
I think about school.	To	tal	72	44.72%	52	32.3%	37	22.98%	161	100%
19 My principal and	Sterling School	Average Score	135	83.85%	23	14.29%	3	1.86%	161	100%
teachers tell children when they do a good	Sterning School	2.82	135	83.85%	23	14.29%	3	1.86%	161	100%
job.	To	tal	135	83.85%	23	14.29%	3	1.86%	161	100%
20. My principal and	Sterling School	Average Score	151	93.79%	10	6.21%	0	0%	161	100%
teachers help me to be ready for the next	Sterning School	2.94	151	93.79%	10	6.21%	0	0%	161	100%
grade.	To	tal	151	93.79%	10	6.21%	0	0%	161	100%
	Total		358	74.12%	85	17.6%	40	8.28%	483	100%

## **STUDENT SURVEYS: MIDDLE SCHOOL Survey Response Counts**

Section: Purpose and Direction

			Stror Agre	e	Agree		Neut		Disaş			ongly		olicable		otal
Question / Institution	on		#	%	#	<b>%</b>	#	<b>%</b>	#	<b>%</b>	DISa	igree	#	<b>%</b>	#	%
			]								#	<b>%</b>				
	I	I A	ı			1	ı		ı		l	ı				
1. In my school, programs and	Sterling	Average Score	72	37.7%	99	51.83%	16	8.38%	4	2.09%	0	0%	0	0%	191	100%
services are available to help	School	4.25	72	37.7%	99	51.83%	16	8.38%	4	2.09%	0	0%	0	0%	191	100%
me succeed.	7	Γotal	72	37.7%	99	51.83%	16	8.38%	4	2.09%	0	0%	0	0%	191	100%
2. In my school, the purpose and	Sterling	Average Score	57	29.84%	91	47.64%	36	18.85%	3	1.57%	3	1.57%	1	0.52%	191	100%
expectations are clearly explained	School	4.01	57	29.84%	91	47.64%	36	18.85%	3	1.57%	3	1.57%	1	0.52%	191	100%
to me and my family.	7	Γotal	57	29.84%	91	47.64%	36	18.85%	3	1.57%	3	1.57%	1	0.52%	191	100%
3. In my school, a	Sterling	Average Score	144	75.39%	39	20.42%	6	3.14%	2	1.05%	0	0%	0	0%	191	100%
high quality education is	School	4.7	144	75.39%	39	20.42%	6	3.14%	2	1.05%	0	0%	0	0%	191	100%
offered.	7	Γotal	144	75.39%	39	20.42%	6	3.14%	2	1.05%	0	0%	0	0%	191	100%
4. In my school, all students are	Sterling	Average Score	44	23.04%	88	46.07%	42	21.99%	13	6.81%	3	1.57%	1	0.52%	191	100%
treated with	School	3.81	44	23.04%	88	46.07%	42	21.99%	13	6.81%	3	1.57%	1	0.52%	191	100%
respect.	7	Γotal	44	23.04%	88	46.07%	42	21.99%	13	6.81%	3	1.57%	1	0.52%	191	100%
5. In my school, teachers work	Sterling	Average Score	70	36.65%	76	39.79%	31	16.23%	9	4.71%	3	1.57%	2	1.05%	191	100%
together to improve student	School	4.02	70	36.65%	76	39.79%	31	16.23%	9	4.71%	3	1.57%	2	1.05%	191	100%
learning.	7	Γotal	70	36.65%	76	39.79%	31	16.23%	9	4.71%	3	1.57%	2	1.05%	191	100%
	Total		387	40.52%	393	41.15%	131	13.72%	31	3.25%	9	0.94%	4	0.42%	955	100%

Section: Governance and Leadership

	Strongl	y Agree	Agree		Neutra	ıl	Disagr	ee	Strongly	Not Appl	icable	To	otal
Question / Institution	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	Disagree	#	%	#	%
									# %				
	ļ!												

6. In my school,	Sterling	Average Score	65	34.39%	84	44.44%	24	12.7%	9	4.76%	6	3.17%	1	0.53%	189	100%
rules are applied equally to all	School	4.01	65	34.39%	84	44.44%	24	12.7%	9	4.76%	6	3.17%	1	0.53%	189	100%
students.	7	Γotal	65	34.39%	84	44.44%	24	12.7%	9	4.76%	6	3.17%	1	0.53%	189	100%
7. In my school,	Sterling	Average Score	30	15.87%	108	57.14%	43	22.75%	4	2.12%	3	1.59%	1	0.53%	189	100%
students treat adults with	School	3.82	30	15.87%	108	57.14%	43	22.75%	4	2.12%	3	1.59%	1	0.53%	189	100%
respect.	7	Γotal	30	15.87%	108	57.14%	43	22.75%	4	2.12%	3	1.59%	1	0.53%	189	100%
8. In my school, the principal and	Sterling	Average Score	106	56.08%	68	35.98%	13	6.88%	2	1.06%	0	0%	0	0%	189	100%
teachers have high expectations	School	4.47	106	56.08%	68	35.98%	13	6.88%	2	1.06%	0	0%	0	0%	189	100%
of me.	7	Γotal	106	56.08%	68	35.98%	13	6.88%	2	1.06%	0	0%	0	0%	189	100%
	Total		201	35.45%	260	45.86%	80	14.11%	15	2.65%	9	1.59%	2	0.35%	567	100%

Section: Teaching and Assessing for Learning

			Strongl	y Agree	Agree		Neut	ral	Disaş	gree		ongly	Not Apj	plicable	T	otal
Question / Institution	n		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	Disa	igree	#	%	#	%
											#	%				
9. My school gives me multiple	Sterling	Average Score	98	52.13%	72	38.3%	13	6.91%	4	2.13%	0	0%	1	0.53%	188	100%
assessments to check my	School	4.39	98	52.13%	72	38.3%	13	6.91%	4	2.13%	0	0%	1	0.53%	188	100%
understanding of what was taught.	To	otal	98	52.13%	72	38.3%	13	6.91%	4	2.13%	0	0%	1	0.53%	188	100%
10. My school provides me with	Sterling	Average Score	123	65.43%	58	30.85%	4	2.13%	2	1.06%	0	0%	1	0.53%	188	100%
challenging curriculum and	School	4.59	123	65.43%	58	30.85%	4	2.13%	2	1.06%	0	0%	1	0.53%	188	100%
learning experiences.	To	otal	123	65.43%	58	30.85%	4	2.13%	2	1.06%	0	0%	1	0.53%	188	100%
11. My school prepares me to	Sterling	Average Score	48	25.53%	75	39.89%	45	23.94%	14	7.45%	6	3.19%	0	0%	188	100%
deal with issues I may face in the	School	3.77	48	25.53%	75	39.89%	45	23.94%	14	7.45%	6	3.19%	0	0%	188	100%
future.	To	otal	48	25.53%	75	39.89%	45	23.94%	14	7.45%	6	3.19%	0	0%	188	100%
12. My school	Sterling	Average Score	70	37.23%	87	46.28%	25	13.3%	4	2.13%	2	1.06%	0	0%	188	100%
motivates me to learn new things.	School	4.16	70	37.23%	87	46.28%	25	13.3%	4	2.13%	2	1.06%	0	0%	188	100%
icai ii iiew tiiiigs.	To	otal	70	37.23%	87	46.28%	25	13.3%	4	2.13%	2	1.06%	0	0%	188	100%
13. My school offers	Sterling	Average Score	50	26.6%	80	42.55%	41	21.81%	11	5.85%	5	2.66%	1	0.53%	188	100%
opportunities for	School	3.83	50	26.6%	80	42.55%	41	21.81%	11	5.85%	5	2.66%	1	0.53%	188	100%

my family to become involved in school activities and my learning.	To	otal	50	26.6%	80	42.55%	41	21.81%	11	5.85%	5	2.66%	1	0.53%	188	100%
14. My school makes sure there	Sterling	Average Score	40	21.28%	58	30.85%	62	32.98%	22	11.7%	6	3.19%	0	0%	188	100%
is at least one adult who knows	School	3.55	40	21.28%	58	30.85%	62	32.98%	22	11.7%	6	3.19%	0	0%	188	100%
me well and shows interest in my education and future.	To	otal	40	21.28%	58	30.85%	62	32.98%	22	11.7%	6	3.19%	0	0%	188	100%
15. My school provides learning	Sterling	Average Score	47	25%	86	45.74%	43	22.87%	6	3.19%	3	1.6%	3	1.6%	188	100%
services for me	School	3.85	47	25%	86	45.74%	43	22.87%	6	3.19%	3	1.6%	3	1.6%	188	100%
according to my needs.	To	otal	47	25%	86	45.74%	43	22.87%	6	3.19%	3	1.6%	3	1.6%	188	100%
16. All of my teachers use a	Sterling	Average Score	68	36.17%	84	44.68%	24	12.77%	9	4.79%	3	1.6%	0	0%	188	100%
variety of teaching methods	School	4.09	68	36.17%	84	44.68%	24	12.77%	9	4.79%	3	1.6%	0	0%	188	100%
and learning activities to help me develop the skills I will need to succeed.	To	otal	68	36.17%	84	44.68%	24	12.77%	9	4.79%	3	1.6%	0	0%	188	100%
17. All of my teachers change	Sterling	Average Score	17	9.04%	51	27.13%	67	35.64%	41	21.81%	10	5.32%	2	1.06%	188	100%
their teaching to	School	3.1	17	9.04%	51	27.13%	67	35.64%	41	21.81%	10	5.32%	2	1.06%	188	100%
meet my learning needs.	To	otal	17	9.04%	51	27.13%	67	35.64%	41	21.81%	10	5.32%	2	1.06%	188	100%
18. All of my teachers explain	Sterling	Average Score	59	31.38%	88	46.81%	33	17.55%	6	3.19%	2	1.06%	0	0%	188	100%
their expectations for learning and	School	4.04	59	31.38%	88	46.81%	33	17.55%	6	3.19%	2	1.06%	0	0%	188	100%
behavior so I can be successful.	To	otal	59	31.38%	88	46.81%	33	17.55%	6	3.19%	2	1.06%	0	0%	188	100%
19. All of my teachers use tests,	Sterling	Average Score	107	56.91%	63	33.51%	14	7.45%	3	1.6%	1	0.53%	0	0%	188	100%
projects, presentations,	School	4.45	107	56.91%	63	33.51%	14	7.45%	3	1.6%	1	0.53%	0	0%	188	100%
and portfolios to check my understanding of what was taught.	To	otal	107	56.91%	63	33.51%	14	7.45%	3	1.6%	1	0.53%	0	0%	188	100%
20. All of my teachers provide	Sterling	Average Score	66	35.11%	75	39.89%	33	17.55%	12	6.38%	2	1.06%	0	0%	188	100%
me with information	School	4.02	66	35.11%	75	39.89%	33	17.55%	12	6.38%	2	1.06%	0	0%	188	100%
about my	To	otal	66	35.11%	75	39.89%	33	17.55%	12	6.38%	2	1.06%	0	0%	188	100%

learning and grades.																
21. All of my teachers keep my	Sterling	Average Score	67	35.64%	69	36.7%	39	20.74%	9	4.79%	3	1.6%	1	0.53%	188	100%
family informed of my academic	School	3.98	67	35.64%	69	36.7%	39	20.74%	9	4.79%	3	1.6%	1	0.53%	188	100%
progress.	To	otal	67	35.64%	69	36.7%	39	20.74%	9	4.79%	3	1.6%	1	0.53%	188	100%
22. All of my teachers fairly	Sterling	Average Score	66	35.11%	76	40.43%	29	15.43%	14	7.45%	3	1.6%	0	0%	188	100%
grade and	School	4.0	66	35.11%	76	40.43%	29	15.43%	14	7.45%	3	1.6%	0	0%	188	100%
evaluate my work.	To	otal	66	35.11%	76	40.43%	29	15.43%	14	7.45%	3	1.6%	0	0%	188	100%
T	otal		926	35.18%	1,022	38.83%	472	17.93%	157	5.97%	46	1.75%	9	0.34%	2,632	100%

Section: Resources and Support Systems

Ouestion / Institution	n .		Stron#	ngly Agree	Agree #	%	Neut	ral %	Disaş	gree %		ngly gree	Not App	olicable	#	otal %
Question/ Institute	)II		#	<b>%</b> 0	#	%0	#	%0	#	<b>%</b> 0	#	%	#	%0	#	<b>%</b> 0
23. In my school, the building and	Sterling	Average Score	64	34.04%	76	40.43%	34	18.09%	11	5.85%	3	1.6%	0	0%	188	100%
grounds are safe, clean, and	School	3.99	64	34.04%	76	40.43%	34	18.09%	11	5.85%	3	1.6%	0	0%	188	100%
provide a healthy place for learning.	7	Γotal	64	34.04%	76	40.43%	34	18.09%	11	5.85%	3	1.6%	0	0%	188	100%
24. In my school,	Sterling	Average Score	30	15.96%	65	34.57%	54	28.72%	26	13.83%	12	6.38%	1	0.53%	188	100%
students respect the property of	School	3.38	30	15.96%	65	34.57%	54	28.72%	26	13.83%	12	6.38%	1	0.53%	188	100%
others.	7	Γotal	30	15.96%	65	34.57%	54	28.72%	26	13.83%	12	6.38%	1	0.53%	188	100%
25. In my school, a variety of	Sterling	Average Score	93	49.47%	66	35.11%	23	12.23%	4	2.13%	2	1.06%	0	0%	188	100%
resources are available to help	School	4.3	93	49.47%	66	35.11%	23	12.23%	4	2.13%	2	1.06%	0	0%	188	100%
me succeed (e.g., teaching staff, technology, media center).	7	Γotal	93	49.47%	66	35.11%	23	12.23%	4	2.13%	2	1.06%	0	0%	188	100%
26. In my school,	Sterling	Average Score	31	16.49%	35	18.62%	34	18.09%	39	20.74%	47	25%	2	1.06%	188	100%
computers are up-to-date and	School	2.78	31	16.49%	35	18.62%	34	18.09%	39	20.74%	47	25%	2	1.06%	188	100%
used by teachers to help me learn.	7	Γotal	31	16.49%	35	18.62%	34	18.09%	39	20.74%	47	25%	2	1.06%	188	100%
27. In my school, students help	Sterling	Average Score	22	11.7%	68	36.17%	58	30.85%	26	13.83%	14	7.45%	0	0%	188	100%
each other even if	School	3.31	22	11.7%	68	36.17%	58	30.85%	26	13.83%	14	7.45%	0	0%	188	100%

they are not friends.	7	Γotal	22	11.7%	68	36.17%	58	30.85%	26	13.83%	14	7.45%	0	0%	188	100%
28. In my school,	Sterling	Average Score	50	26.6%	80	42.55%	37	19.68%	12	6.38%	9	4.79%	0	0%	188	100%
I can participate in activities that	School	3.8	50	26.6%	80	42.55%	37	19.68%	12	6.38%	9	4.79%	0	0%	188	100%
interest me.	7	Total	50	26.6%	80	42.55%	37	19.68%	12	6.38%	9	4.79%	0	0%	188	100%
29. In my school, I have access to	Sterling	Average Score	52	27.66%	92	48.94%	32	17.02%	5	2.66%	5	2.66%	2	1.06%	188	100%
counseling, career planning,	School	3.93	52	27.66%	92	48.94%	32	17.02%	5	2.66%	5	2.66%	2	1.06%	188	100%
and other programs to help me in school.	7	Γotal	52	27.66%	92	48.94%	32	17.02%	5	2.66%	5	2.66%	2	1.06%	188	100%
7	Γotal		342	25.99%	482	36.63%	272	20.67%	123	9.35%	92	6.99%	5	0.38%	1,316	100%

Section: Using Results for Continuous Improvement

			Stron	ngly Agree	Agree		Neut	ral	Disag	gree		ngly	Not App	; plicable	Te	otal
Question / Institutio	n		#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	Disa	gree	#	%	#	%
											#	%				
30. My school shares	Sterling	Average Score	40	21.28%	77	40.96%	55	29.26%	11	5.85%	4	2.13%	1	0.53%	188	100%
information about school	School	3.72	40	21.28%	77	40.96%	55	29.26%	11	5.85%	4	2.13%	1	0.53%	188	100%
success with my family and community members.	1	Fotal	40	21.28%	77	40.96%	55	29.26%	11	5.85%	4	2.13%	1	0.53%	188	100%
31. My school considers	Sterling	Average Score	39	20.74%	53	28.19%	53	28.19%	27	14.36%	15	7.98%	1	0.53%	188	100%
students' opinions when	School	3.38	39	20.74%	53	28.19%	53	28.19%	27	14.36%	15	7.98%	1	0.53%	188	100%
planning ways to improve the school.	7	Total	39	20.74%	53	28.19%	53	28.19%	27	14.36%	15	7.98%	1	0.53%	188	100%
32. My school	Sterling	Average Score	71	37.77%	79	42.02%	26	13.83%	8	4.26%	4	2.13%	0	0%	188	100%
prepares me for success in the	School	4.09	71	37.77%	79	42.02%	26	13.83%	8	4.26%	4	2.13%	0	0%	188	100%
next school year.	1	Total	71	37.77%	79	42.02%	26	13.83%	8	4.26%	4	2.13%	0	0%	188	100%
,	Total		150	26.6%	209	37.06%	134	23.76%	46	8.16%	23	4.08%	2	0.35%	564	100%

# **State Report Card Survey**

**Student Survey: Learning Environment** 

	Baseline 2011-12	Planning Year 2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
School Projected	X	X	91.5	91.5	91.5	91.5	91.5
School Actual	91.5	89.7	95.5				
District Projected (ES, MS, and HS)	Х	Х	83.5	84.0	84.5	85.0	85.5
District Actual (ES and MS)	83.8						

**Student Survey: Safety** 

	Baseline 2011-12	Planning Year 2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
School Projected	X	X	97.1	97.1	97.1	97.1	97.1
School Actual	97.1	96.5	97.2				
<b>District Projected</b>	X	X	91.9	92.3	92.7	93.1	93.5
District Actual	90.9						

# Summary of Needs

#### **Student Achievement:**

**Goal Area 1** encompasses performance goals for Reading, Writing and Math, Social Studies and Science. These performance targets are based on the prior years' PASS scores and are focused on areas needing improvement. Within the plan found in this document, interim performance goals further target additional areas of improvement. You may notice in this goal area that Sterling elementary is designated rather than Sterling School. Sterling elementary indicates elementary students in grades 3<sup>rd</sup>-5<sup>th</sup> not in the Charles Townes Center. This designation is due to the extremely high number of Charles Townes students who score exemplary in English Language Arts, Writing and Math.

#### Needs:

- The Sterling elementary program performed above federal standard however the African-American sub group was below standard
- Assessment: Use of common assessments and analysis of other data.
- Balanced Literacy: Need to improve literacy skills on %K-5<sup>th</sup>
- Curriculum: Integrate common core standards and improved current instructional unit plans and rigor of all academic areas.
- CATCH: Need to continue strategies to improve student health and academic performance
- Differentiation: Need to more effectively use research based instructional practices to individualize student learning and performance
- Enrichment: Continue to Extend, Enrich and Accelerate student learning and performance.

#### **Teacher and Administrator Quality**

**Goal Area 2** addresses the need for quality staff. The **Sterling School** vision requires extensive training for teachers and staff members. This area defines the school-wide professional development for the year as well.

#### Needs:

- Provide more targeted professional development
- Training on Common Core
- Use of CATCH activities to impact student health and learning
- Training in the development, analysis and use of assessment data.
- Improved student literacy through balanced literacy
- Strategies for differentiation.
- Use of technology to improve student learning

The goals in this area are supported by national and state guidelines defining quality personnel. The strategies in this area are designed to support the two areas of focus in developing the school culture and are integral to implementing the strategies in Goal Areas 1 and 3.

#### **School Climate:**

**Goal Area 3** addresses school climate. This goal area is very important at **Sterling School** and is directly aligned with the focus of inclusiveness. **Sterling School** is a diverse school covering a wide range of ages and student needs. **Sterling School** plays a critical role in the local community as well creates a community for our parents from around the county. The strategies for meeting and maintaining this goal are designed to identify and meet the needs of this diverse population.

#### Needs

- Improve student attendance and tardies.
- School security- student ID's for middle school.
- Parent engagement- need parent workshops, orientations and meetings to help provide support for students
- Improve parent-teacher conferences using more parent friendly assessment data
- Diversity training for parent leadership- SIC & PTA
- Each student needs one adult in the school they feel knows and advocates for them

A table of current needs was established to build support and strength in our core academic program. This table of needs is used to establish key strategies in the action plan, teacher professional and student achievement goals and tasked items for action by curriculum and program committees, Parent Teacher Association and School Improvement Council.

Each year, as items or addressed or deemed to be completed, those items will be noted in the table via notation and date of completion. New items will be added as needed and dated as well. Where items are program specific, program notation is also provided.

Identified needs are being addressed through multiple methods:

- 1. A Strategic Action Plan has been developed for major focuses for the school year.
- 2. Curriculum Vertical (cross grade level) Teams and Program Committees will be responsible for tasks in the plan and addressing other issues.
- 3. Indiviudal teachers may include needs in their professional goal setting yearly.

As new needs are discovered, they will be added and dated to the needs list. As needs are resolved, they will be dated and marked as complete.

Sterling also finished a comprehensive review of our overall instructional progarm and after careful review, we decided that the best approach to update and improve the instructional program at the Charles Townes Center and the Sterling Program was to add depth, rigor and choice to our current offerings. There is still a path for course acceleration where needed, however, the vast majority of student needs would be better met with a program that added attention to student strengths through extensions, in-depth studies in a field of interest and ongoing enrichment. These enrichments and extensions would also be supported through a partnership with The Children's Museum of the Upstate (in initial talks). Students would use the museum and its staff as a resource for curriculum development and field experiences. Teachers, parents and students will work together to develop a comprehensive student portfolio which guide large group extensions, individualized enrichment and student course and project selection. This new approach would utilizes a Program Facilitator to lead the initiative.

⊠Student Achievement	☐Teacher/Administrator Quali	ty School Climate	Other Priorit
----------------------	------------------------------	-------------------	---------------

**PERFORMANCE STATEMENT:** Meet the state and federal accountability objectives for all students and subgroups in reading each year.

**FIVE YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Meet or exceed the standard in reading as measured by SC READY.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Annually meet or exceed the standard in reading as measured by SC READY.

**DATA SOURCE(S):** ESSA Federal Accountability and SDE School Report Card\_

	Baseline 2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
School Projected	X	72	75
School Actual	68.9%		
District Projected	X	53	56
District Actual	50		

<sup>\*</sup>Baseline data to be established in 2015-16.\*

Student Achievement	☐Teacher/Administrator Quality	School Climate	☐Other Priority
---------------------	--------------------------------	----------------	-----------------

**PERFORMANCE STATEMENT:** Meet the state and federal accountability objectives for all students and subgroups in mathematics each year.

**FIVE YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Meet or exceed the standard in mathematics as measured by SC READY.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Meet or exceed the standard in mathematics as measured by SC READY.

**DATA SOURCE(S):** ESSA Federal Accountability and SDE School Report Card\_

	Baseline 2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
<b>School Projected</b>	X	75	78
School Actual	72.4		
<b>District Projected</b>	X	59	63
District Actual	56		

<sup>\*</sup>Baseline data to be established in 2015-16.\*

Student Achievement	☐Teacher/Administrator Quality	School Climate	Other Priority
---------------------	--------------------------------	----------------	----------------

**FIVE YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Meet the annual measurable objective (AMO) of 95% tested for all students and student subgroups tested on SC READY.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Meet the annual measurable objective (AMO) of 95% tested for all students and student subgroups tested on SC READY.

**DATA SOURCE(S):** ESSA Federal Accountability and SDE School Report Card\_

% Tested ELA – School	Baseline 2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
<b>Projected Performance</b>	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0
<b>Actual Performance</b>		100		
All Students	*			
Male	*			
Female	*			
White	*			
African-American	*			
Asian/Pacific Islander	*			
Hispanic	*			
American Indian/Alaskan	*			
Disabled	*			
Limited English Proficient	*			
Students in Poverty	*			

<sup>\*</sup>SC SDE did not provide baseline data for 2014-15.\*

% Tested ELA – District Grades 3- 5	Baseline 2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Projected Performance	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0
<b>Actual Performance</b>		100		
All Students	*			
Male	*			
Female	*			
White	*			
African-American	*			
Asian/Pacific Islander	*			
Hispanic	*			
American Indian/Alaskan	*			
Disabled	*			
Limited English Proficient	*			
Students in Poverty	*			

<sup>\*</sup>SC SDE did not provide baseline data for 2014-15.\*

% Tested Math - School	Baseline 2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
<b>Projected Performance</b>	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0
<b>Actual Performance</b>		100		
All Students	*			
Male	*			
Female	*			
White	*			
African-American	*			
Asian/Pacific Islander	*			
Hispanic	*			
American Indian/Alaskan	*			
Disabled	*			
Limited English Proficient	*			
Students in Poverty	*			

% Tested Math - District - Grades 3-5	<b>Baseline</b> 2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
<b>Projected Performance</b>	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0
<b>Actual Performance</b>	*	100		
All Students	*			
Male	*			
Female	*			
White	*			
African-American	*			
Asian/Pacific Islander	*			
Hispanic	*			
American Indian/Alaskan	*			
Disabled	*			
Limited English Proficient	*			
Students in Poverty	*			

<sup>\*</sup>SC SDE did not provide baseline data for 2014-15.\*

<b>EOCEP % ENGLISH I</b>	
--------------------------	--

Student Achievement	Teacher/Administrator Quality	Cohool Climata	Othor Drionity
∆Student Achievement	reacher/Administrator Quality	School Climate	Other Priority

**FIVE YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Increase the percentage of students who meet standard (test score of 70 or higher) on the state-mandated End of Course test in English I from 100% in 2012 to 100% in 2018.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Increase by 0 percentage points annually students who meet standard (test score of 70 or higher) on the state-mandated End of Course test in English I.

**DATA SOURCE(S):** SDE School Report Card and SDE EOCEP data file used for accountability calculations

School	Baseline 2011-12	Planning Year 2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
School Projected	X	X					
School Actual	100	100	100	100	100		
District Projected (MS and HS)	Х	Х	77.3	78.3	79.3	80.3	81.3
District Actual (MS only)	98.9	98.9	98.5	79.7	82.8		

End of Course data for MS only includes EOCEP scores for 7<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> graders at GCS traditional middle schools and charter middle schools.

Student Achievement   ■ Continue     Student Achievement	☐Teacher/Administrator Quality	School Climate	☐Other Priority
--	--------------------------------	----------------	-----------------

**FIVE YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Increase the percentage of students who meet standard (test score of 70 or higher) on the state-mandated End of Course test in Algebra I from 100% in 2012 to 100% in 2018.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Increase by 0 percentage point(s) annually students who meet standard (test score of 70 or higher) on the state-mandated End of Course test in Algebra I.

**DATA SOURCE(S):** SDE School Report Card\_

	Baseline 2011-12	Planning Year 2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
School			4.00	4.00			
Projected	X	X	100	100			
School	100	100	100	100	100		
Actual							
District Projected (MS and HS)	X	X	84.6	85.6	86.6	87.6	88.6
District Actual (MS only)	99.4	97.6	99.2	90.1	85.5		

End of Course data for MS only includes EOCEP scores for 7<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> graders at GCS traditional middle schools and charter middle schools.

Student Achievement   ■ Continue     Student Achievement	☐Teacher/Administrator Quality	School Climate	Other Priority
--	--------------------------------	----------------	----------------

**PERFORMANCE STATEMENT:** Meet the state and federal accountability objectives for all students and subgroups in writing and science each year.

**FIVE-YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Maintain the percentage of students meeting standard (Met and Exemplary) in science as measured by the Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS) from **91.6%** in 2012 to **91.6%** in 2018.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE 1:** Maintain at least **92.5%** of students meeting standard (Met and Exemplary) in science as measured by the Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS).

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE 2:** Annually increase by **2%** percentage points of Sterling elementary program students scoring Met and Exemplary on the PASS science.

**DATA SOURCE(S):** SDE School Report Card\_

	Baseline 2011-12	Planning Year 2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
<b>School Projected</b>	X	X	91.6	91.6	91.6	91.6	91.6
School Actual	91.6	88.2%	92.8	90.6	79.5		
Sterling Program Projected	X	X	75%	77%	79%	81%	83%
Sterling Program Actual	73%	66%	71.4%				
<b>District Projected</b>	X	X	76.9	77.9	78.9	79.9	80.9
District Actual	75.9	77.0		72.9	71.4		

<sup>\*</sup>Information in the above tables is subject to change. Projected performance and actual performance are dependent upon which state standardized assessment is administered as South Carolina begins full implementation of common core standards in 2013-2014.\*

\*Beginning in 2014-15, grades 4-8 will take Science and Social Studies.\*

$oxtimes$ Student Achievement $oxtimes$ Teacher/Adminis $^{\circ}$	ator Quality $\square$ School Climate	e Other Priority
--	---------------------------------------	------------------

**FIVE-YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Maintain the percentage of students meeting standard (Met and Exemplary) in social studies as measured by the Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS) from **93.4%** in 2012 to **93.4%** in 2018.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE 1:** Maintain at least **93.4%** of students meeting standard (Met and Exemplary) in social studies as measured by the Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS).

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE 2:** Annually increase by **2%** percentage points of Sterling elementary program students scoring Met and Exemplary on the PASS social studies.

**DATA SOURCE(S):** SDE School Report Card\_

	Baseline 2011-12	Planning Year 2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
<b>School Projected</b>	X	X	93.4	93.4	93.4	93.4	93.4
School Actual	93.4	93.5	95.3	95.4	87.7		
Sterling Program Projected	X	X	81%	83%	85%	87%	89%
<b>Sterling Program Actual</b>	79%	80%	82.3%				
<b>District Projected</b>	X	X	79.9	80.9	81.9	82.9	83.9
District Actual	78.9	79.5		81.2	78.8		

<sup>\*</sup>Information in the above tables is subject to change. Projected performance and actual performance are dependent upon which state standardized assessment is administered as South Carolina begins full implementation of common core standards in 2013-2014.\*

<sup>\*</sup>Beginning in 2014-15, grades 4-8 will take Science **and** Social Studies.\*

Student Achievement	☐Teacher/Administrator Quality	School Climate	Other Priority
---------------------	--------------------------------	----------------	----------------

**PERFORMANCE STATEMENT:** Increase student performance on state and national assessments, including the Iowa Test of Basic Skills (ITBS).

**FIVE-YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Annually meet or exceed the national norm of 50<sup>th</sup> percentile in each subtest of the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills (ITBS) in grade 2.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Annually meet or exceed the national norm of 50<sup>th</sup> percentile in each subtest of the Iowa Tests of Basic Skills (ITBS) in grade 2.

**DATA SOURCE(S):** Fall 2011 and Fall 2012 ITBS/CogAT report produced by Riverside Publishing

School	Baseline 2011-12	Planning Year 2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Reading Comprehension Projected			50 <sup>th</sup> %tile				
Reading Comprehension Actual	65%tile	70%tile	63% <sup>tile</sup>	46% <sup>tile</sup>	63% <sup>tile</sup>	50% <sup>tile</sup>	
Mathematics Concepts Projected			50 <sup>th</sup> %tile				
Mathematics Concepts Actual	58%tile	51%tile	53% <sup>tile</sup>	39% <sup>tile</sup>	50% <sup>tile</sup>	34% <sup>tile</sup>	
Mathematics Problems Projected			50 <sup>th</sup> %tile				
Mathematics Problems Actual	56%tile	61%tile	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

<sup>\*</sup>Fall 2014 students began taking a new form of the ITBS\*

District	Baseline 2011-12	Planning Year 2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Reading Comprehension Projected			50 <sup>th</sup> %tile	50 <sup>th</sup> %tile	50th %tile	50th %tile	50th %tile
Reading Comprehension Actual	67 <sup>th</sup> %tile	66 <sup>th</sup> %tile	67 <sup>th</sup> %tile	60th	62 <sup>nd</sup>	60 <sup>th</sup>	
Mathematics Concepts Projected			50 <sup>th</sup> %tile	50 <sup>th</sup> %tile	50th %tile	50th %tile	50th %tile
Mathematics Concepts Actual	52 <sup>nd</sup> %tile	50 <sup>th</sup> %tile	49 <sup>th</sup> %tile	52nd	51 <sup>st</sup>	48 <sup>th</sup>	

<sup>\*</sup>Fall 2014 students began taking a new form of the ITBS\*

<u>Timeline</u>	Person Responsible	Estimated Cost	Funding Sources	Indicators of Implementation
2013-2018				<ul><li>Works samples</li><li>Assessment notebooks</li></ul>
2016-2017	Instructional Team	\$14,000	General Fund	<ul><li>Student Continua samples</li><li>Enrich reports</li></ul>
2013-2018 <del>2014-2015</del>	Assessment Team Portfolio Team	\$500 <del>\$1,500</del>	General Fund	Student portfolios
<del>2013-2014</del> 2016-2017	Principal Grade Levels	None See above	Local Funds	
2013 – 2018				Student achievement data
2013-2015	Instructional Coach	\$30,000	General & Local Funds	<ul><li>Running records</li><li>Grades</li></ul>
2016-2018	Holmes	None		
2017-2018	Marchbanks, Foulkes, Literacy Mentors	ТВА	Pd/Flex funds	Teacher reflections,     reading assessments
2013 – 2018				
2013-2018 2013-2018	Sterling Program Technology Team	TBD \$125,000	General Fund	Lesson & Unit Plans
	2013-2018  2016-2017  2013-2018  2013-2014 2016-2017  2013 – 2018  2017-2018  2013 – 2018  2013 – 2018	Responsible           2013-2018         Instructional Team           2013-2018         Assessment Team           2013-2014         Principal           2013-2017         Grade Levels           2013 – 2018         Instructional Coach           2016-2018         Holmes           2017-2018         Marchbanks, Foulkes, Literacy Mentors           2013 – 2018         Sterling Program	Responsible         Cost           2013-2018         Instructional Team         \$14,000           2013-2018         Assessment Team Portfolio Team         \$500 \$1,500           2013-2014         Principal Grade Levels         None See above           2013-2015         Instructional Coach See above         None           2017-2018         Holmes         None           2017-2018         Marchbanks, Foulkes, Literacy Mentors         TBA           2013-2018         Sterling Program         TBD	Responsible         Cost         Sources           2013-2018         2016-2017         Instructional Team         \$14,000         General Fund           2013-2018 2014-2015         Assessment Team Portfolio Team         \$500 \$1,500         General Fund           2013-2014 2016-2017         Principal Grade Levels         None         Local Funds           2013-2018         Instructional Coach Holmes         \$30,000 None         General & Local Funds           2017-2018         Marchbanks, Foulkes, Literacy Mentors         TBA         Pd/Flex funds           2013-2018         Sterling Program         TBD         General Fund

	1 1 1 040 0 1 1 111	Τ	T	4T 1	1	T
d.	Include 21 <sup>st</sup> Century skills across all content areas	2013-2018	Technology Team	*Tech money see above		
e.	Provide innovative technology support for curriculum	2013-2018	Instructional Coach Literacy Mentors	See Balanced Literacy	Local Funds	<ul><li>Unit Plans</li><li>Observation Notes</li></ul>
f.	Integrate literacy skills across content areas	2016-2017	CTC Program		General & Local Funds	Unit Plans, Portal documents
	<ul> <li>Writing: Write from the Beginning Training</li> </ul>	2017-2019	CTC ELA Committee	\$2500	General & Local Funds	Lesson & Unit Plans
	<ul> <li>Grammar: Michael Clay Thompson Training</li> </ul>			\$3750/(1day) \$6250 (2 day)	General & Local Funds	
g.	Vertically align and evaluate Charles Center content area curriculum with gifted and talented standards and best practices.	2017-2018	CTC Science Committee		General/Local	Curriculum Document
	<ul> <li>Rewrite CTC Science Curriculum 3<sup>rd</sup>-8<sup>th</sup> Grade</li> </ul>	2016-2017	Sterling Program		Funds	<ul> <li>Program Meeting         Minutes</li> <li>Curriculum Document</li> </ul>
h.	Vertically align and evaluate Sterling Program content area curriculum with best practices. (Focus Math & ELA)		Teachers		Local Funds	Surricularit Boodinent
C.A.T.	C.H					
1.1.9 I acade throug	mprove the overall health and mic performance of all students h the implementation of a nated school health system.  b. Implement the Coordinated Approach To Child Health program (CATCH) for grades pre K-8	2013 – 2018 <del>2013-2016</del>	CATCH Committee	\$1,250	Award Money	<ul> <li>Activity Log</li> <li>Annual state report</li> <li>LiveWell Greenville Healthy Schools Assessment</li> </ul>
	c. Maintain CATCH	2016-2017				
Differe	entiation:					
	nent Inclusion Model for Special tion Services	2016-2018	Tallman/Pough			District Training Log
		2013 – 2018		None		AIMS Web Data MAP Test Scores Rigby Running Records

1.1.4 Focus on the implementation of		Marchbanks		Local Funds	Assessment Continuums
Response to Intervention (RTI) with fidelity	2013-2014	Holmes	\$10,000		
<ul> <li>b. Continue to monitor and track student progress to determine the following: <ul> <li>Retention implications</li> <li>Number of students reading on grade level by the end of 2<sup>nd</sup> grade</li> </ul> </li> <li>1.2.2 Emphasize differentiation and/or individualization of instruction through innovative, research-based instructional practices including the following:</li> </ul>	2013-2018	Instructional Team	\$40,000	General Funds Local Funds PTA Funds	Student Activity Log Lesson Plans Enrichment Plans Anecdotal Notes Test Score Data Assessment Continuums
h. Personalized Learning: Independent Study/20Time	2016-2018	Middle School Teachers			
i. Student-driven technology	2013-2018	Discovery Learning			
<ul><li>j. One-to-one and personal electronic student devices</li></ul>	2015-2018	Corp Teachers	See Tech Budget	PTA Funds	
k. Inquiry/Project -based learning	2013-2018	Technology Team			
Smart Arts Integration program	2013-2018	Instructional Team			
m. Creative and targeted classroom	2013-2018	Arts Guild		General	
accommodations and modifications for diverse learners	2013-2018	Instructional Team		Funds	
n. Enrichment: Develop and implement school-wide additional		Instructional Team			
enrichment, extensions based on	2013-2018				
individual student interests and aptitude.		Enrichment Facilitator			
a. Enrichment Days b. Pull Out enrichments		i aciiitatoi	\$3,000	Local Funds	
c. Field Experiences				Loodi i dildo	
d. Expert seminars D1.3					
Enrichment: Develop and implement					
student <b>acceleration</b> for direct instruction for identified individual students based on	2013-2018	Enrichment Facilitator			

strengths in subject, or concept regardless of grade or program.				General Funds Local Funds	
D1.4  Develop, plan and implement cross grade-level units of study for Communities and-Biology for grades 4K-2 <sup>nd</sup> Grade, allowing	2013-2014	Primary Design Teams	See Above		
for individualization, acceleration and enrichment across grade levels				Local Funds	
			\$2,000	Local Funds	

#### PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

Student Achievement		School Climate	Other Priority
---------------------	--	----------------	----------------

**GOAL AREA 2**: Ensure quality personnel in all positions.

**FIVE-YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** All personnel will be highly qualified and specialized through 2017-18.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE 1:** Attract and retain **teachers** of high quality and preparation with teaching methods tailored to highly gifted students and/or inquiry based learning. The number of teachers with their Gifted and Talented endorsement from 67% to 75%.

### **DATA SOURCE(S):**

	Baseline 2011-12	Planning Year 2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Projected	X	X	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Actual	100%	X	100	100%	100%		
Gifted & Talented Endorsement	67%	72%	72%	72%	72%		

STRATEGY Activity	Timeline	<u>Person</u> <u>Responsible</u>	Estimated Cost	Funding Sources	Indicators of Implementation
2.2.1 Achieve and maintain 100% Highly Qualified status for all contracted teachers.	2013-2018	Principal	NA	NA	Position Control Log
Curriculum: 2.4.6 Provide ongoing training to teachers for the implementation of Common Core State Standards a. Unpack State Standards b. Provide training for cross-curricular integration	2015-2018 2014-2015	Instructional Team			

c. Provide training on the cognitive rigor					
matrices and their implications for	0040 0040				
instruction and assessment (SC Ready)	2016-2018				
d. Rewrite and Modify Current Instructional	2013-2018	Grade/Content Levels			
Program & Assessments.					
<ul> <li>Middle School Curriculum</li> </ul>	2013-2015	Content Levels			
<ul><li>Personalized Learning</li></ul>	2016-2018				
CTC Elementary Program	2014-2015	Communities & Habitat			
<ul><li>ELA</li><li>Mathematics</li></ul>	2016-2018 2016-2018	Teams			
<ul> <li>Gifted Standards</li> </ul>	2010-2010				
<ul><li>Primary</li></ul>	2013-2014				
<ul> <li>Units of Study</li> </ul>					
Communities					
Biology Study					
■ ELA & Math Common	2016-2018				
Assessments CATCH:					
2.4.8 Strengthen physical education programs in					
Greenville County Schools					
a. Provide training to teachers on C.A.T.C.H			<del>\$1,250</del>	<del>LiveWell</del>	LiveWell School
	<del>2013-2018</del>	CATCH		Grant	<u>Application</u>
Balanced Literacy:		Committee			
2.4.10 Provide training and ongoing monitoring for					
Balanced Literacy in grades 5K-5 <sup>th</sup> grade	2013-2015	Literacy Team	TBA	District	MAP scores
				Funds	PASS Scores
Curriculum					Reading Assessments
Curriculum:					
<ul> <li>Writing: Write from the Beginning</li> </ul>	2017-2019	CTC ELA	\$2500		
Training		Committee		TBA	
Grammar: Michael Clay Thompson			\$3750/(1day)		Training Log
Training			\$6250 (2 day)		
Assessment:					
2.4.12 Develop a comprehensive training initiative to					
equip teachers to disaggregate formative and	2013-2018	Principal	TDA		
			TBA		

summative assessment data to inform instructional decision-making.  a. Classroom based assessments b. Reading & Math Continua c. Student Portfolios d. Enrich Data Warehouse e. MAP Test Scores f. Early Warning System g. Student Centered Learning  • Grade Level • Cross-Program Teams • Vertical Teams	2013-2016 2013-2016 2013-2015 2013-2014 2016-2017 2016-2017	Classroom Teachers Assessment Team Principal Principal Instructional Coach		Local Funds	
Differentiation: Intra-Program Sessions : Sharing Best Practices via Program Committees	2013-2018	Instructional Team	ТВА	Local Funds	Professional Development Log
Technology:  Develop and implement a technology training menu for teachers and staff:  a. Edmodo b. Moodle c. PowerTeacher d. Promethean e. Web 2.0 Programs f. Moviemaker g. iPad/Chromebook	2013-2018	Technology Team	\$3000	Local Funds	Professional Development Log
h. Google Apps/Classroom  c. Personalized Learning Training	2017-2018	Discovery Learning Corp/Instructional Team	TBA	General Fund	Professional Development Log
Objective: Improve understanding of our students, parents and  Climate:  Develop Family Support System to increase student achievement (4K-2 <sup>nd</sup> )	Monthly: Vertical Teams 7 Hours	utilize strengths and ad Holman	dress weaknesses. \$0	NA	Discipline Log Student Concern Logs Student Grades Survey Data
Develop and Implement House System of Social & Emotional Support of Sterling Program 3 <sup>rd</sup> -5 <sup>th</sup> Grade Students	Monthly: Vertical Teams 7 Hours	Noble	\$O	NA	

Sterling School Mindfulness 3-Year Implementation Plan	2017-2020	Counseling		General & Local	
• Year One – 2017-2018 - Leadership		Department	TBA	Funds	
- Identify the Mindfulness Leadership group and					
schedule meetings. The Mindful Leadership group					
will:					
Research training and professional					
development opportunities.					
2. Choose effective professional development for					
the group and complete the training.					
<ol> <li>Offer brief informational sessions during</li> </ol>					
faculty, program, department, or grade-level					
meetings.					
4. Create a resource bank that all teachers and					
staff can access with ready-to-use ideas for					
implementing a personal mindful practice or					
classroom mindfulness strategies.					
NOTE – Check out Calm Classroom Academy for possible					
introductory training for leadership.					
• Year Two – 2018-2019 - Training					
- Provide school-wide professional development to					
all teachers.					
- Teachers will begin to implement mindfulness					
practices in their classroom on an individual basis.					
There will be time available at faculty, program,					
department, or grade-level meetings to discuss best					
practices for incorporating mindfulness into the					
curriculum.					
- School-wide a decision will be made to either adopt					
or develop a mindfulness curriculum for full					
implementation the following year.					
• Year Three – 2019-2020 - Implementation					
- A school-wide mindfulness program					
implementation will take place. The curriculum					
will be incorporated into every grade level and					
program. Each grade level will use similar					
language and mindfulness practices in order to					

provide a cohesive and seamless program for all			
levels and programs.			

#### STUDENT ATTENDANCE

☐ Student Achievement ☐ Teacher/Administrator Quality ☐ School Climate ☐ Other Priority

**GOAL AREA 3:** Provide a school environment supportive of learning.

**FIVE-YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Achieve an annual student attendance rate of 95%.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Maintain an annual student attendance rate of 95% or higher.

**DATA SOURCE(S):** SDE School Report Card\_

	Baseline 2011-12	Planning Year 2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
<b>School Projected</b>	X	X	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0
School Actual	97.6	97.3	97.86	97	97.1		
<b>District Projected</b>	X	X	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0	95.0
District Actual	95.9	95.6	95	95.6	95.7		

#### STUDENT EXPULSION

Student Achievement	☐Teacher/Administrator Quality		Other Priority
---------------------	--------------------------------	--	----------------

**FIVE YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Maintain a student expulsion rate below 0.5% of the total school population.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Maintain an annual student expulsion rate below 0.5% of the total school population.

**DATA SOURCE(S):** SDE School Report Card - School Profile page - Students section

	Baseline 2011-12	Planning Year 2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
School Projected	X	X	Less than 0.5%				
School Actual	0	0	0	0	0		
District Projected	X	Х	Less than 0.5%				
District Actual	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%	0.7%	0.9%		

Strategic Planning Guide
Sterling School Portfolio

P	Δ	RENT	<b>SATISFACT</b>	TON -	IFARNING	<b>FNV</b>
	7-		DAILDI ACI	TOIL -	FFWINITIA	

☐ Student Achievement	☐Teacher/Administrator Quality	School Climate   ☐ School Climate	Other Priority
-----------------------	--------------------------------	-----------------------------------	----------------

**FIVE-YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Maintain the percent of parents who are satisfied with the learning environment at 99% from 2012 to 2018.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Maintain the percent of parents who are satisfied with the learning environment at 99% from 2012 to 2018.

	Baseline 2011-12	Planning Year 2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
School Projected	X	X	98.8	98.8	98.8	98.8	98.8
School Actual	98.8	94.1	90.9	98.1	97.1		
District Projected	X	X	89.0	89.5	90.0	90.5	91.0
District Actual	88.0*	88.1	88.1	89.8	90.1		

<sup>\*</sup>SDE has not yet provided GCS with the District's Parent Survey results for 2011-12. Info is from 2010-11.\*

#### STUDENT SATISFACTION - LEARNING ENV.

Student Achievement	☐Teacher/Administrator Quality	√ ⊠School Climate	☐Other Priority
---------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------	-----------------

**FIVE-YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Maintain the percent of students who are satisfied with the learning environment at 92% from 2012 to 2018.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Maintain the percent of students who are satisfied with the learning environment at 92% from 2012 to 2018.

	Baseline 2011-12	Planning Year 2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
School Projected	X	X	91.5	91.5	91.5	91.5	91.5
School Actual	91.5	89.7	95.5	96.0	96.6		
District Projected (ES, MS, and HS)	X	X	83.5	84.0	84.5	85.0	85.5
District Actual (ES and MS)	83.8	82.7	81.6	83.9	83.8		

#### **TEACHER SATISFACTION - LEARNING ENV.**

Student Achievement	☐Teacher/Administrator Quality	√ ⊠School Climate	☐Other Priority
---------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------	-----------------

**FIVE-YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Maintain the percent of teachers who are satisfied with the learning environment at 97% from 2012 to 2018.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Maintain the percent of teachers who are satisfied with the learning environment at 97% from 2012 to 2018.

	Baseline 2011-12	Planning Year 2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
School Projected	X	X	97.4	97.4	97.4	97.4	97.4
School Actual	97.4	100	100	97.7	93.7		
District Projected	X	X	92.5	93.0	93.5	94.0	94.5
District Actual	98.0	92.6	93.5	93.3	91.7		

DΔ	RFN	T SA	<b>LTSEV</b>	CTION	I - SA	FFT\
-		JA	IIJIA		- 3A	

Student Achievement	☐Teacher/Administrator Quality	School Climate   ☐ School Climate	Other Priority
---------------------	--------------------------------	-----------------------------------	----------------

**FIVE-YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Increase the percent of parents who indicate that their child feels safe at school from 94% in 2012 to 96% by 2018.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Beginning in 2013-14, increase by 0.4 percentage point(s) annually parents who indicate that their child feels safe at school.

	Baseline 2011-12	Planning Year 2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
School Projected	X	X	94.5	94.9	95.3	95.5	95.5
School Actual	94.1	92.9	95.3	91.7	91.1		
District Projected	X	X	93.9	94.3	94.7	95.1	95.5
District Actual	93.5*	92.8	93.1	91.7	91.7		

<sup>\*</sup>SDE has not yet provided GCS with the District's Parent Survey results for 2011-12. Info is from 2010-11.\*

CTUDENT	CATTCEAC	TTON	CAFET
SIUDENI	SATISFAC	110N -	SAFELL

Student Achievement	☐Teacher/Administrator Quality		Other Priority
---------------------	--------------------------------	--	----------------

**FIVE-YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Maintain the percent of students who feel safe at school during the school day at 97% from 2012 to 2018.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Maintain the percent of students who feel safe at school during the school day at 97% from 2012 to 2018.

	Baseline 2011-12	Planning Year 2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
School Projected	X	X	97.1	97.1	97.1	97.1	97.1
School Actual	97.1	96.5	96.3	93.6	95.8		
District Projected	X	X	91.9	92.3	92.7	93.1	93.5
District Actual	90.9	90.2	89.2	91.3	91.1		

#### **TEACHER SATISFACTION - SAFETY**

Student Achievement	☐Teacher/Administrator Quality		Other Priority
---------------------	--------------------------------	--	----------------

**FIVE-YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Increase the percent of teachers who feel safe at school during the school day from 97.5% in 2012 to 98.5% by 2018.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Beginning in 2013-14, increase by <u>0.5</u> percentage point(s) annually teachers who feel safe at school during the school day.

	Baseline 2011-12	Planning Year 2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
School Projected	X	X	98	98.5	98.5	98.5	98.5
School Actual	97.5	100	100	88.6	98		
District Projected	X	X	98.5	98.5	98.5	98.5	98.5
District Actual	98.9	98.3	98.2	98.3	98.4		

STRATEGY	Timeline	Person	<b>Estimated</b>	Funding	Indicators of
STRATEGI	<u> mileime</u>	<u>Responsible</u>	<u>Cost</u>	Sources	Implementation
Activity		<u>Kesponsible</u>	COSL	<u>Sources</u>	Implementation
3.1.1 Research and identify					
successful strategies to improve	2013-2018	Administrative	0.4500		
attendance, including decreasing		Assistant	\$1500		Attendance Referrals
student tardies, at each school level				Local Funds	
(such as attendance rewards, school					
attendance competitions at each					
level, etc.)	0040	Assistant Driverinal	<b>#</b> 500	Land Eusala	TL
3.4.2 Provide ID's for all middle	<del>2013</del>	Assistant Principal	<del>\$500</del>	Local Funds	<del>Tag Log</del>
school students.  Develop and implement parent workshops,					Manual an area de a
orientations and partnerships to address CTC	2042 2040	Carragalina Campusitta	<b>#</b> 000	Lacal Euroda	Workshop agendas
& Sterling Elementary student developmental	2013-2018	Counseling Committee CTC Teacher Team	\$200	Local Funds	Attendance Logs
and academic needs.		CTC reacher ream			
Create a new family and student			_		
assimilation program.	2013-2015	Guidance Committee	\$500	Local Funds	Attendance Log
Re-develop parent-teacher					
conferencing sessions	2013-2016	Classroom Teachers	\$750	Local Funds	Conference Logs
based on enrichment program,					Sample Assessments
assessment continuums and					
developed student portfolios.					
Diversity Training: & Neighborhood			<b>A</b>		
Tour for PTA and School	2013	Guidance	\$600	Local Funds	Meeting Agenda
Improvement Council Members					
Develop student support: each kid	0040 0040		0.4500		
has at least one person who knows	2013-2018	Guidance Committee	\$1500	Local Funds	Student/Advocate Log
and advocates for him or her.			w1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Survey Data
Objective: Improve understanding of our stu	dents, parents an	d peers to better utilize strei	ngths and address weak	nesses.	Disciplina Last
<u>Climate:</u>	Monthly	Holman	ė o		Discipline Log Student Concern Logs
Develop Family Support System to increase	Monthly: Vertical Teams	поннан	\$O	NA	Student Concern Logs Student Grades
student achievement (4K-2 <sup>nd</sup> )- Griffin	7 Hours				Survey Data
PRIDE: (Positivity, Respect, Integrity,	/ 110ui 3				Jaivey Data
Determination & Empathy)					
Develop and bankers 111 C. 1			\$O	NA	
Develop and Implement House System of		Noble, Neuer	, -	INA INA	
Social & Emotional Support of Sterling Program 3 <sup>rd</sup> -5 <sup>th</sup> Grade Students Griffin		, '			
Frogram 35 Grade Students Griffin					
		l			

			T	I	T
PRIDE: (Positivity, Respect, Integrity,	Monthly:				
Determination & Empathy)	Vertical Teams				
	7 Hours				
Develop Support System for gifted					
students Social and Emotional Needs:					
Griffin PRIDE: (Positivity, Respect,					
Integrity, Determination & Empathy)					
Create a plan to integrate the support					
systems between both programs to					
develop a meaningful cross program					
integration plan focusing on Griffin					
PRIDE: (Positivity, Respect, Integrity,					
Determination & Empathy)					
Determination a Empathy)	2017-2020	Counseling Department	TBA		
Sterling School Mindfulness 3-Year	2017-2020	Courseiing Department	IDA	Local/General Funds	
Implementation Plan					
• <u>Year One – 2017-2018 -</u>					
<u>Leadership</u>					
- Identify the Mindfulness					
Leadership group and schedule					
meetings. The Mindful					
Leadership group will:					
5. Research training and					
professional development					
opportunities.					
6. Choose effective					
professional development					
for the group and complete					
the training.					
7. Offer brief informational					
sessions during faculty,					
program, department, or					
grade-level meetings.					
8. Create a resource bank that					
all teachers and staff can					
access with ready-to-use					
ideas for implementing a					
personal mindful practice					
or classroom mindfulness					
strategies.					

NOTE – Check out Calm Classroom			
Academy for possible introductory training			
for leadership.			
• Year Two – 2018-2019 - Training			
- Provide school-wide			
professional development to all			
teachers.			
- Teachers will begin to			
implement mindfulness			
practices in their classroom on			
an individual basis. There will			
be time available at faculty,			
program, department, or grade-			
level meetings to discuss best			
practices for incorporating			
mindfulness into the			
curriculum.			
- School-wide a decision will be			
made to either adopt or develop			
a mindfulness curriculum for			
full implementation the			
following year.			
• Year Three – 2019-2020 -			
Implementation			
A school-wide mindfulness program			
implementation will take place. The			
curriculum will be incorporated into every			
grade level and program. Each grade level will use similar language and			
mindfulness practices in order to provide			
a cohesive and seamless program for all			
levels and programs.			
1 0			

# **Report Card**

2014-2015

http://ed.sc.gov/assets/reportCards/2015/elem/c/e2301116.pdf

## SCHOOL RENEWAL PLAN FOR 2013-14 through 2017-18

Student Achievement ☐Other Priority	Teacher/Administrator Quality	School Climate
GOAL AREA 1: Raise the	e academic challenge and performa	ance of each

<u>PERFORMANCE STATEMENT</u>: Meet the state and federal accountability objectives for all students and subgroups in writing each year.

**FIVE YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Meet or exceed the standard in writing as measured by ACT Aspire.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Annually meet or exceed the standard in writing as measured by ACT Aspire.

**DATA SOURCE(S):** ESEA Federal Accountability and SDE School Report Card\_

	Baseline 2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
<b>School Projected</b>	X			
School Actual	53.6			
District Projected	X			
<b>District Actual</b>	28.5			

<sup>\*</sup>Baseline data to be established in 2014-15.\*

student.

Λ	CT	A C	DID		NO	LTCL
А		AS	РІК	12 2	NG	LISH

Student Achievement ■	☐Teacher/Administrator Quality	School Climate
Other Priority		

**PERFORMANCE STATEMENT:** Meet the state and federal accountability objectives for all students and subgroups in English each year.

**FIVE YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Meet or exceed the standard in English as measured by ACT Aspire.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Annually meet or exceed the standard in English as measured by ACT Aspire.

**DATA SOURCE(S):** ESEA Federal Accountability and SDE School Report Card\_

	Baseline <b>2014-15</b>	2015-16	2016- 17	2017-18
School				
Projected	X			
School Actual	87.1			
District				
Projected	X			
<b>District Actual</b>	72.8			

<sup>\*</sup>Baseline data to be established in 2014-15.\*

#### **PASS % WRITING**

## SCHOOL RENEWAL PLAN FOR 2013-14 through 2017-18

Student Achievement ■	☐Teacher/Administrator Quality	School Climate
Other Priority	- ,	

**GOAL AREA 1:** Raise the academic challenge and performance of each student.

**PERFORMANCE STATEMENT:** Meet the state and federal accountability objectives for all students and subgroups in writing and English Language Arts each year.

**FIVE-YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL:** Maintain the percentage of students meeting standard (Met and Exemplary) in writing as measured by the Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS) from **92.5%** in 2012 to **92.5%** in 2018.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE 1:** Maintain at least **92.5%** of students meeting standard (Met and Exemplary) in writing as measured by the Palmetto Assessment of State Standards (PASS).

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE 2:** Annually increase by <u>3%</u> percentage points of Sterling elementary program students scoring Met and Exemplary on the PASS Writing.

**DATA SOURCE(S):** SDE School Report Card

Writing	Baseline 2011- 12	Planning Year 2012- 13	2013- 14	2014- 15	2015- 16	2016- 17	2017- 18
School Projected	X	X	92.5	92.5	92.5	92.5	
School Actual	92.5	90.7	95*				
Sterling Elem Program Projected	X	Х	75%	78%	81%	84%	87%
Sterling Elem Program	72%	72%	80%				
District Projected	X	X	78.8	79.8	80.8	81.8	82.8
District Actual	77.8	78.8	79.9				

Baseline data from 2011-12 is based upon  $5^{th}$  and  $8^{th}$  grade scores only. Projected performance is based upon  $3^{rd}$  through  $8^{th}$  grade scores.

\*Information in the above tables is subject to change. Projected performance and actual performance are dependent upon which state standardized assessment is administered as South Carolina begins full implementation of common core standards in 2013-2014.\*

ACT ASPIRE READING

	☐Teacher/Administrator Quality	School Climate
Other Priority		

**PERFORMANCE STATEMENT:** Meet the state and federal accountability objectives for all students and subgroups in reading each year.

**FIVE YEAR PERFORMANCE GOAL**: Meet or exceed the standard in reading as measured by ACT Aspire.

**ANNUAL OBJECTIVE:** Annually meet or exceed the standard in reading as measured by ACT Aspire.

**DATA SOURCE(S):** ESEA Federal Accountability and SDE School Report Card\_

	Baseline 2014-15	2015- 16	2016- 17	2017- 18
<b>School Projected</b>	X			
School Actual	80.2			
<b>District Projected</b>	X			
DistricActual	44.0			

<sup>\*</sup>Baseline data to be established in 2014-15.\*